# **Application of Functionalized Polymers in Organic Synthesis**

#### AHMED AKELAH

*Chemisiry* Department. Facully of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

#### DAVID C. SHERRINGTON'

Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XL, Scotland

Received October 1, 1980 (Revised Manuscript Received *May* 4, *T98l)* 

#### *Contents*



#### *I. Introduction*

Until the mid-1960s synthetic polymers were **of** considerable technological interest largely as materials rather than **as** organic molecules in their own right. The synthesis of new polymers and the detailed investigation of the mechanisms of existing polymerizations had in the main been directed toward the production of improved materials. At the same time techniques for the characterization of macromolecules had been translated into commercially available instrumentation, leading to a rapid expansion in the material science study of polymers and the search for a molecular understanding of polymer physics which has probably reached a plateau only in the last 2 or 3 years. Since the mid-I960s, synthetic macromolecules have also been increasingly



Ahmed Akelah was born in 1946 and raised in **El-Salha.** Egypt. His undergraduate training was at Cairo University (B.Sc. (Honours). 1969) and his M.Sc. was received from Tanta University in 1973. During 1973-1978 he continued his studies at Bonn University where he received his *Dr. rer. nat.* degree with Professor G. Wulff. He joined Tanta University Chemistry staff in 1978. He is now a Visiting Scientist at the Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry. Strathclyde University, Glasgow. His main research interests are polymer science and related organic syntheses.



D. C. Sherrington was born in 1945 and received his B.Sc. (Honours) in 1966 and worked as a Research Assistant with Professors C. **E.** H. Bawn and A. Ledwith in L verpool on the physical organic chemistry of ionic polymerizations. He was appointed Lecturer at the University of Strathclyde in 1971, where he continued his work on ionic polymerizations while also developing a research program on polymer-supported reactions, which has become his main interest. He received a Senior Ciba-Geigy Research Fellowship in 1981 and is currently working with Professors E. Chiellini and F. Ciardelli at the Istituto di Chimica Organica Industriale. University of Pisa, on optically active catalysts.

recognized as organic species, capahle of behaving as organic reactants and susceptible, under appropriate conditions, to all the chemical transformations of smaller organic species. Ion-exchange resins had previously been widely examined as acid and base catalysts,<sup>1</sup> though no technological application appears to have developed at that time. The rediscovery of polymers as organic molecules and their use in organic synthesis was made by Merrifield in  $1963<sup>2</sup>$  when he introduced his "solid-phase technique" for the synthesis

of peptides, in which an insoluble cross-linked macromolecule was used **as** a protecting group, simultaneously providing a facile method for isolating and purifying the product of each condensation step. Since that announcement functionalized polymers have found widespread application in organic synthesis and related fields. They have been employed as stoichiometric reagents, **as** catalysts, **as** protecting groups, **as** substrate carriers, in analytical chemistry, in ion exchange, in the detection of reaction intermediates, in chromatography, in biologically and pharmacologically active systems, in the immobilization of enzymes and cells, in the application of dyes and colorants, and in the field of agricultural chemicals.

The present review will restrict its coverage to those applications specifically in organic synthesis.

The use of inorganic supports in which reactive groups are introduced by covalent bond formation or by sorption phenomena is specifically excluded, and readers are directed to alternative reviews. $3,4$ 

Our aim has been to distill the literature into four fractions and to present each as an extensive table listing the functionalized polymer involved, along with its relevant application as a reagent, catalyst, etc. In the text we have taken selective examples in order to develop the principle associated with a particular section, and thereafter the remaining members of a section follow that same principle. The reader may therefore search for a particular supported species and ascertain the reactions in which it has been employed, or alternatively pick out a particular reaction type and deduce which supported species have proved useful. We have attempted to be **as** comprehensive **as** possible, covering the literature to early 1980, but do not claim to be exhaustive. We hope that this method of presentation will prove a novel and valuable addition to the review articles which precede it.5-26

## **A. Structure and Properties of Functionallzed Polymers**

A functionalized polymer in the present context is a synthetic macromolecule to which are chemically bound functional groups which can be utilized as reagents, catalysts, protecting groups, etc.

The macromolecule can be a linear species capable of forming a molecular solution in a suitable solvent, or alternatively a cross-linked species, or so-called resin, which though readily being solvated by a suitable solvent remains macroscopically insoluble. Of the two approaches the use of resins has been more widespread because of the practical advantages accruing from their insolubility (see next section). Active functional groups may be incorporated into polymer chains (i) by direct polymerization and copolymerization of monomers containing the desired functional groups, (ii) by chemical modification of a preformed polymer, and (iii) by a combination of (i) and (ii). A difficulty with the first method is that considerable manipulation of the copolymerization procedure may be necessary to ensure a good yield of the required copolymer and, in the case of resins, to ensure also a satisfactory physical form. In the second method commercially available resins of high quality are normally employed and the desired functional groups introduced by using standard organic synthetic procedures. While this generally ensures a

product with a good physical form, the derivatization reactions required must be as free of side reactions as possible. Even so the polymers prepared in this way rarely have every repeat unit functionalized, and the distribution of groups may not be uniform. Indeed different methods of preparation may give rise to different functional group distributions, and this still remains an area requiring more detailed investigations and the development of more suitable analytical procedures. Standard elemental analysis with supporting infrared absorption spectra generally provides satisfactory evidence for chemical modification and allows calculation of the degree of substitution or functionalization of a polymeric species, quoted in milliequivalents per gram of polymer. In some circumstances other analytical procedures,<sup>27</sup> including detailed structural analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy,28 can be applied, depending on the nature of the polymer and the chemical transformations involved.

The ease of chemical modification of a resin, and indeed the level of success in its subsequent application as a reagent or a catalyst, can depend substantially on the physical properties of the resin itself. Functionalized polymeric supports must possess a structure which permits adequate diffusion of reagents into the reactive sites, a phenomenon which depends on the extent of swelling or solvation, the effective pore size and pore volume, and the chemical and mechanical stability of the resins under the conditions of a particular chemical reaction or reaction sequence. These in turn depend on the degree of cross-linking of the resin and the conditions employed during preparation of the resin. Three main types of resin can be identified: (a) microporous or gel-type resins; (b) macroporous resins, and (c) macroreticular resins. These have been described in detail elsewhere,<sup>29</sup> but the essential differences can be summarized as follows.

Microporous species are prepared from a vinyl monomer and a difunctional vinyl comonomer in the absence of any additional solvating media. In the dry state they are microporous, with polymer chains being separated by typical solid-state intermolecular distances. On contact with a good solvent a soft gel network is formed with the generation of considerable porosity depending on the degree of cross-linking. Where the latter is  $\leq 1\%$  (i.e., % of difunctional comonomer used in polymerization), swollen resins generally have low mechanical stability and readily fragment even under carefully handling. In contrast, commercially available microporous resins with >8 % cross-linking are mechanically very stable, but unfortunately give rise to acute diffusional limitations resulting in slow and incomplete reactions. In practice, resins of  $\sim$ 2% cross-link ratio provide a satisfactory compromise generally allowing adequate penetration by most reagents and yet retaining sufficient mechanical stability to provide ease of handling. Copolymer beads made by suspension polymerization of 98% styrene and 2% divinylbenzene isomers (in practice  $\sim 4\%$  commercial divinylbenzene<sup>29</sup>) have found very wide application as a result of these factors.

Very similar properties can arise with macroporous resins. These are prepared as before but with the inclusion of an inert solvent. Where the solvent solvates both monomer and polymer a fully expanded network is formed with a considerable degree of porosity.30 Removal of the solvent causes a reversible collapse of the matrix, and in the dry state such materials are similar to microporous resins. In order to achieve mechanical stability in the solvent swollen state it is usual to employ larger quantities of difunctional comonomer in the preparation, up to  $\sim 20\%$ . Materials of this type are not readily available from commercial sources.

Where the solvent employed during polymerization is a good solvent for the monomers but a precipitant for the polymer, the term macroreticular is generally employed to describe the product.<sup>31</sup> The latter is a highly porous rigid material which retains its overall shape and volume when the precipitant is removed. Again it is normal to use a larger quantity of difunctional comonomer, and in the case of some chromatographic applications styrene resins with **>50%** divinylbenzene content are employed.32 This enables such products to be subjected to high pressures,  $\sim$  1000 psi, in high-performance liquid chromatography conditions. The structure of these resins is quite different from the previous two. They have a large and permanent pore volume, and reaction sites may be regarded **as** being located on a permanent interior surface of the resin. Such materials are available from a number of commercial sources. Macroporous species have also been prepared in the presence of large inert molecules which subsequently can be washed away to create permanent voids.33 The authors are not aware of any commercial source for these products.

The choice of using a microporous or macroreticular resin in preparing a functionalized polymer can be a difficult one and depends very much on the application in question. In a swelling solvent microporous species can often be loaded or functionalized to higher levels than macroreticular ones. However, the latter are generally much less sensitive to the choice of solvent.<sup>34,35</sup> which is not always a readily variable parameter in some chemical reactions. Swollen microporous resins are less sensitive to sudden shock but cannot be subjected to steady and high pressures. Conversely rigid macroreticular species are brittle and fracture under sudden stress, but can withstand considerable steady pressures.

The role of a solvent in the application of a functionalized resin is complex. Ideally it should interact with the polymer matrix to optimize the diffusional mobility of reagent molecules. It should have the correct solvating characteristics to aid any chemical transformations being carried out. It should not limit the reaction conditions which are to be applied (e.g., the temperature), and in the case of bound photosensitizers, for example, it should encourage translucence and not opacity. Naturally it is difficult to satisfy all of these criteria simultaneously, and the selection of a solvent is often a compromise. There have been a number of attempts to quantify the interaction of solvents with a polymer support matrix, and the nitroxide spin-labeling experiments have achieved this with respect to the local motions of the polymer backbone and the associated side-chain substituents.  $36,37$ 

#### **6. Advantages and Disadvantages in Using Functionalized Polymers**

Probably the most important advantage in using a functionalized polymer as a reagent or catalyst is the simplification of product work-up, separation, and isolation. In the case of cross-linked polymer resins, simple filtration procedures can be used for isolation and washing, and the need for complex chromatographic techniques can be eliminated. With linear polymers, techniques such as precipitation, sedimentation, and ultrafiltration can be employed, although these are by no means as convenient and readily available in all laboratories. Resins, in addition, provide the possibility of automation in the case of repetitive stepwise syntheses and the facility of carrying out reactions in flow reactors on a commercial scale. Supported reagents may also be used more conveniently in excess to drive reactions to completion, without incurring a penalty in the work-up procedure. Scarce and/or expensive materials can be efficiently retained when attached to a polymer and, if appropriate chemistry is available, they can in principle be recycled many times. The reactivity of an unstable reagent or catalyst may be attenuated when supported on a resin, and the corrosive action of, for example, protonic acids can also be minimized by this effective encapsulation. Finally, toxic and malodorous materials can be rendered environmentally more acceptable.

In addition to these factors, a number of potentially important reactivity changes may be induced by the use of a functionalized polymer.<sup>25</sup> When the latter is cross-linked, restricted interaction of functional groups may be achieved. A high degree of cross-linking, a low level of functionalization, low reaction temperatures, and the development of electronic charges near the polymer backbone tend to encourage this situation, which may be regarding as mimicing the solution condition of "infinite dilution". In these circumstances intermolecular reaction of bound molecules is prevented, and such attached residues can be made either to react intramolecularly or to react selectively with an added soluble reagent. Polymer supported metal complexes with vacant coordination sites can be regarded as fulfilling this description, with the resin inhibiting the normal solution oligomerization processes of such species. Under certain circumstances it is also possible to achieve the complementary state of "high concentration" by heavily loading a flexible polymer matrix with one particular moiety in an attempt to force its reaction with a second polymer-bound species.15

Balancing the above advantages there are also a number of important disadvantges. Probably the most important of these is the likely additional time and cost in synthesizing a supported reagent or catalyst. This may well be offset by the potential advantages, and certainly in the case of regenerable and recyclable species this objection essentially disappears. The occurrence of slow reactions and poor yields, however, can seldom be accommodated, and these can be a problem. Appropriate choice of support and reaction conditions can overcome this, but most of us in the field have been defeated from time to time. With those applications involving the assembly or modification of a polymerbound substrate, the final cleavage step releasing the product into solution can be incomplete, or the vigorous conditions employed in cleavage may result in degradation of the polymer. The overall chemical and mechanical stability of the support can often be limiting, and in the case of strongly acidic and basic ion-exchange resins limited temperature stability has hindered their

widespread commercial application. The ultimate capacity of a functionalized polymer is also restricted, and may be important in preparative organic chemistry involving stoichiometric quantities of supported reagents. One of the major differences between inorganic supports and polymers is the even lower loading capabilities of the former which, while being suitable for the attachment of catalysts and in polypeptide and oligonucleotide synthesis, is totally unsuitable for high-capacity demands.<sup>4</sup> Difficulty in the characterization of reactions on polymers can also arise. These are maximized in the case of resins, where those techniques relying on the formation of a true homogeneous solution, at best, may be rendered inadequate or insensitive and, at worst, can be completely useless. Finally in the use of functionalized polymers there always exists the additional chemical option of a side reaction with the polymer itself. **A** number of classic cross-linking side reactions have been identified,<sup>38,39</sup> but many other low yields may well be associated with intrapolymeric reactions, which have never been recognized, let alone characterized.

Despite these potential drawbacks, a large number of systems have been developed, and considerable scope now exists for their exploitation in routine synthetic chemistry. The area need no longer be regarded as a specialized one, and the tables in sections II-V should aid potential users in seeking out appropriate systems for their own needs.

#### *II. Polymeric Reagents*

**A** polymeric reagent is a reactive organic group bound to a macromolecular support and used in stoichiometric<br>quantities to achieve the chemical modification of an<br>added substrate (reaction 1). Such groups may be<br> $\bigoplus_{x} x + 1$  substrate quantities to achieve the chemical modification of an added substrate (reaction 1). Such groups may be

$$
\bigoplus \hspace{-0.3cm} -x \hspace{1.5cm} + \hspace{0.3cm} \text{substrate} \hspace{0.3cm} -
$$

polymeric reagent

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textcircled{P}\text{---Y} & + \text{ product} & (1)\n\end{array}
$$

polymeric byproduct

attached to polymeric carriers by physical adsorption or by chemical bonding. Physically adsorbed species are generally unsatisfactory since in use the components tend to dissociate, and they are therefore unsuitable for column or cyclical application.

Those chemical reagents covalently attached to polymeric carriers and successfully used in organic synthesis are listed in Table I. Note that after reaction the byproducts remain attached to the insoluble polymer and can be removed by simple filtration. Some of these can be regenerated for repeated use.

#### **A. Polymeric Phosphine Reagents**

In spite of the widespread application of the Wittig reaction to olefin synthesis, a principle disadvantage of this reaction is the difficulty of separating the main product from the byproduct, triphenylphosphine oxide. In addition, the phosphine itself is a costly reagent. However, by use of the insoluble polymeric phosphine reagent **1** (reaction **2),** the byproduct remains attached to the polymer after the reaction and is readily separated from the desired product. Moreover, the polymeric phosphine oxide byproduct, **2,** can be readily



recycled and reused in further Wittig

 $\sim$ 

**2** 1

The presence of lithium ions in conventional Wittig reactions, arising during generation of the ylide, lead to the formation of trans olefins due to the preferred complexation of the threo form of the betaine. Polymeric phosphonium reagents can give high yields of cis olefins since inorganic lithium salts may be filtered off before the addition of the carbonyl compound.40

In addition to their use in Wittig reactions, polymeric phosphine have been used **as** their dihalides, **3,** X = C1, Br, and with carbon tetrachloride,  $3, X = CCl<sub>4</sub>$ , as mild and efficient reagents for the cleavage of ethers<sup>41</sup> and for the conversion of carboxylic acids, alcohols, and primary amides into the corresponding acid chlorides, alkyl halides, and nitriles, respectively. The recovered polymeric phosphine oxide is readily converted **to** the polymeric phosphine dihalide for reuse43 (reaction **3).** 



Recently, we have used the polymeric phosphine dibromide for the preparation of some compounds which are sensitive to water, column chromatography, and the elevated temperatures required in distillation. These include imidoyl bromide, carbodiimides, and ketenimines.<sup>44</sup> (Polystyryl)diphenyl(methoxymethyl)phosphonium chloride **(4)** has also been prepared and used for the synthesis of vinyl ethers and sulfides derivatives from carbonyl compounds<sup>45</sup> (reaction 4).



#### **B. Polymeric Sulfonium Salts**

Thiols and thioethers are very useful synthetic reagents because of their low cost and high reactivity, which often allows the use of mild reaction conditions. Unfortunately the noxious odor of sulfide or thiol derivatives and the difficulty of removing sulfide byproduct from reaction mixtures detract from their usefulness. **A** polymeric sulfide reagent may be used to overcome these disadvantages, and in addition in some applications it can be reused after washing since the original reagent is automatically re-formed. $46$  Insoluble polymeric thiol-disulfide systems have been successfully used in a number of oxidation-reduction processes $47,48$ (reaction *5).* 

$$
R-S-S-R + 2H^{+} + 2e \rightleftharpoons 2R-SH
$$
 (5)

If the reactive sites on the polymer maintain separation during reaction, polymeric sulfonium salts may be used for selective monooxidation of diol compounds.<sup>46</sup> In addition, other polymeric reagents have been used in the epoxidation of aldehydes $49,50$  and homologation of alkyl iodides and diiodides, $51$  as shown in Table I, section 2.

#### **C. Polymeric Halogenating Reagents**

Interesting but different polymeric halogenating reagents (Table I, section **3)** have been successfully used for the specific addition of halogen to olefins and for allylic halogenation. The success of these functionalized insoluble polymers is attributed to a combination of several advantages, including changes in the specificity and reactivity of the functional group, the ease of removal of excess reagent and product separation, and the facile ability to regenerate the reagents. For example, halogenation of alkyl aromatic compounds with *N*chlorosuccinimide in the absence of solvents and freeradical initiators leads to the formation of a mixture consisting of side-chain- and aryl-chlorinated compounds. However, with poly(N-chloromaleimide) under the same conditions, arylchloro-substituted derivatives are the only product obtained.52

## **D. Polymeric Condensing Reagents**

Insoluble polymer-bound carbodiimide derivatives (5) have been prepared and used as condensing agents in the synthesis of peptides. $53,54$  Some others were used in the conversion of carboxylic acids to their anhydrides<sup>55</sup> and in the Moffatt oxidation, particularly in the oxidation of highly sensitive alcohols.<sup>56</sup> Polymeric carbodiimides have the advantage that the byproduct, urea **6,** remains attached to the polymer and can be readily converted back into the polymeric carbodiimide 555 (reaction 6). the synthesis of peptides.<sup>201</sup> Some other<br>in the conversion of carboxylic acids 1<br>drides<sup>55</sup> and in the Moffatt oxidation, 1<br>the oxidation of highly sensitive alcohol:<br>carbodiimides have the advantage that turea 6, remai

$$
P\text{S} - \text{NH} - \text{CO} - \text{NH} - \text{R} \xrightarrow{\text{TSC1, E13N}} \text{PS} - \text{N} = \text{C} = \text{N} - \text{R}
$$
  
6  
6  
(6)

Another polymeric condensing reagent incorporates sulfonyl chloride groups and has been used in oligonucleotide synthesis.<sup>57</sup> The use of a supported reagent has some advantages over the nonsupported system, such as the elimination of emulsion problems and a reduction in the contamination of nucleotide product.

#### **E. Polymeric Redox Reagents**

Although many useful procedures for oxidation processes have been reported, the main disadvantage of these is the relative difficulty in the preparation of the reagents and in the working-up of the reaction mixture. Polymeric redox systems were one **of** the earliest examples of polymeric reagents and alleviate these difficulties. The most important classes of polymeric re-

dox systems are the hydroquinone-quinone, thiol-disulfide, **pyridine-dihydropyridine,** polymeric dyes, and polymeric metal complex systems. $47,48$ 

Aliphatic peroxy acids explode very readily on impact, and analogous polymeric reagents based on polyacrylic acids behave similarly. ${}^{58-60}$  Aromatic peroxy acids in contrast are more stable, and the polymersupported analogues of these have proved very useful  $indeed.34,61,62$ 

Chromic acid is a powerful oxidizing agent, and a supported analogue has been used for the oxidation of alcohols to carbonyl compounds in high yields. $63,64$ 

Insoluble polymeric organotin dihydride66 was prepared and used as a selective reducing agent for carbonyl compounds and alkyl halides. This polymeric reagent combines the advantages of both the monomeric dihydride reagent, *i.e.*, its high reactivity, and the monohydride reagent, i.e., its high stability.

#### **F. Polymeric Protecting Groups**

There is no group capable of reacting selectively with only one functionality of a completely symmetrical bifunctional compound. However, functionalized insoluble polymers have been used with some success in this role. The selectivity of functional polymer resins for monoblocking utilizes the approximation to "infinite" dilution" which can be achieved with a polymer bound group. In this type of reaction a large excess of a symmetrical bifunctional substrate is employed to ensure that only one of the functional groups reacts with the polymer-bound protecting group. Symmetrical diols $35,66-70$  and dialdehydes $71-73$  have been monoblocked in this way, allowing further reaction at the free alcohol or aldehyde end. For example, Leznoff and his coworkers<sup> $71,72$ </sup> have used a polymer containing a diol functional group, **7,** as a monoblocking agent for symmetrical dialdehydes (reaction **7).** Other functionalized



polymeric reagents used as selective monoblocking groups are listed in Table I, section 6.

#### **G. Polymeric Acylation and Alkylation Reagents**

Several functional polymers have been reported in the literature for use in the acylation and alkylation of

## 562 Chemical Reviews, 1981, **Vol.** 81, No. 6



 $b, R = Et$  $\circ$ = $\circ$ = $\circ$ NEt<sub>2</sub>

$$
\begin{matrix} \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \end{matrix}
$$

\*so2c\ Et

to anhydrides peptide synthesis conversion of acids to mixed anhydrides, esters, and amides oligonucleotide synthesis **54** 

56

**111** 

**TABLE I** *(Continued)* 







**TABLE I** *(Continued)* 



 $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}$ 

## **566 Chemical Reviews. 1981,** Vol. **81, No. 6**

## **Akelah and Sherrington**



 $X = NO_2^ X = -OCOR$  $X = \neg OH$ 



conversion of alkyl halides to nitroalkanes and nitrite esters

**2 2 3** - **2 2 5 226 227** 

conversion of alkyl halides to esters

condensation reactions

**TABLE** I *(Continued)* 



*<sup>a</sup>*@ = **polymer:** @ = **polystyrene** 

different substrates (Table I, section **7).** For example, the insoluble polymer containing the anhydride functional group 8 was used for the conversion of an amine or alcohol to amide or  $\text{ester}^{74,75}$  (reaction 8).

$$
\begin{array}{cc}\n\text{(PS)} & \text{CO-O-CO-R} & \frac{\mathsf{R}'\mathsf{N}\mathsf{H}_2}{\mathsf{or} \ \mathsf{R}'\mathsf{O}\mathsf{H}} & \text{RCO-NHR} \\
\text{8}\n\end{array}\n\tag{8}
$$

The insolubility of a resin-bound reagent in all common solvents can have the effect of isolating the reactive groups on the polymer from each other. Patchornik and his co-workers<sup>76,77</sup> have used this property in the crossed alkylation of ester derivatives. The reactive carbanion derivative of a bound ester is first generated and selfcondensation with unreacted ester inhibited by the rigid matrix. The production of these stable monoanions then allows reaction with acyl or alkyl halides to give selectively monoacylated or monoalkylated products (reaction 9). condensation with unreacted ester inhibited by the rigid<br>matrix. The production of these stable monoanions<br>then allows reaction with acyl or alkyl halides to give<br>selectively monoacylated or monoalkylated products<br>(reactio

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{FS} & -\text{CH}_2\text{OCO} & -\text{CH}_2\text{R} \\
\hline\n\text{FS} & -\text{CH}_2\text{OCO} & -\text{CH}_2\text{R} \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}'x, \text{H}^+ \\
\text{R}''x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}'x, \text{H}^+ \\
\text{R}'x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}'x, \text{H}^+ \\
\text{R}''x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}'x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}''x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{R}''x, \text{H}^+ \\
\end{array}
$$

## **H. Polymer-Bound Nucleophiles**

Anion-exchange resins in which the bound negative ion is exchanged for a reactive nucleophile prior to use in a reaction have also been employed as polymeric reagents. There exists a close relationship between these systems and polymer-supported onium salts as phase-transfer catalysts, where the anion exchange may be regarded as taking place in situ during reaction (see section IIIE). Where the ion exchange is carried out as a separate process the reagent can be isolated and dried before use under essentially anhydrous conditions. Most applications have employed halide ions as the nucleophile (Table I, section 8), but recently a bound benzenesulfinate anion, 9, has been used in the syn-<br>thesis of sulfones<sup>78</sup> (reaction 10).<br>  $(\overline{PS}) - cH_2N^TMe_3$   $\overline{O_2SPh} + RX$ thesis of sulfones<sup>78</sup> (reaction 10).

**9**  RS02Ph + eCH2N+Me3X- **(10)** 

#### **I. Miscellaneous**

Several reagents do not fall conveniently into any of the previous categories, and these are listed in Table I, section 9. Polymer-bound tosyl azide79 **(10)** is an important example. This has a useful activity but has greater stability than its monomeric analogue. It can be handled with safety and provides improved yields the nature with safety and provides improved yields<br>in diazo transfer reactions to several β-dicarbonyl com-<br>pounds (reaction 11).<br> $\boxed{PS - SO_2N_3 + RCO-CH_2-COR - RCO-C-COR}$  (11) pounds (reaction 11).

$$
PS - SO2N3 + RCO-CH2-COR \t\t-RCO-C-COR \t(11)
$$
  
10  
<sub>N<sub>2</sub></sub>

#### **ZZZ.** *Po/ymeric Cafalysfs*

A polymeric catalyst or polymer-supported catalyst is a conventional catalytic species attached to a macromolecular backbone. As with reagents, the polymer species may be a linear or a cross-linked entity, and again the latter have proved particularly useful. Polymeric catalysts are generally used in catalytic quantities relative to reaction substrates, and can often be reused many times. The attachment of a catalyst to a support may improve its stability and selectivity.<sup>24</sup> On the other hand, increased experimental convenience arising with

## **588 Chemical Reviews,** 1981, Vol. 81, No. *6*





## **Functionalized Polymers in Organic Synthesis**

**TABLE I1** *(Continued)* 



hydrolysis of p-acetoxybenzoate

*383* 

## **570 Chemical Reviews,** 1981, Vol. 81, No. **6**

## **Akelah and Sherrington**







## 572 Chemical Reviews, 1981, **Vol. 81,** No. **6**



#### **Functionalized Polymers** in **Organic Synthesis**



a polymeric catalyst may be offset by a significant reduction in reactivity associated, for example, with diffusional limitations imposed by resin supports.

**A** wide variety of catalysts have been supported in this way, ranging from strong acids and bases (ion-exchange resins), transition-metal complexes, and photosensitizers right through to the highly specific enzymic catalysts. **A** detailed coverage of the latter, however, lies outside the scope of this review. **A** systematic listing of polymer-supported transition-metal complex catalysts has also been excluded because this area has been recently reviewed very comprehensively, a similar format to the present review being used. Readers are directed to ref **243** and in particular to the table therein.

## **A. Ion-Exchange Resin Catalysts**

The acid and base forms of ion-exchange resins probably represent the earliest examples of synthetic polymeric catalysts,<sup>1,244</sup> and the use of these materials in this way has been reviewed previously. $245,246$  The commercial availability of a series of resins ranging from strongly acid systems based on sulfonated polystyrene **(1 1)** to strongly basic ones involving the hydroxyl salts of bound ammonium ions **(12)** has allowed the study



of a great variety of reactions. Virtually all organic syntheses involving catalysis by homogeneous acid or base have also been carried out by use of appropriate

polymeric catalysts, and there are now signs of these species being exploited commercially. Table **11,** section 1, lists the applications.

#### **B. Polymeric Lewis Acid and Superacid Catalysts**

The impregnation of a polystyrene resin with aluminum chloride by use of a suitable carrier solvent produces, on removal of the solvent, a tightly bound complex of insoluble polymer and anhydrous Lewis acid. Furthermore, this can be used very successfully as a mild catalyst for a number of organic preparations. $247,248$  The impregnated Lewis acid is readily made available by swelling the resin with an appropriate solvent, while in the dry state the acid is protected from hydrolysis. The polymer appears to attenuate the activity of the catalyst to some extent, and in some reactions undesirable complex condensation side products are virtually eliminated.

When a Lewis acid is introduced into a resin which is already functionalized with strong protonic acid groups, then new acidic functions are generated $249,250$ with an acidity approaching that of the so-called **sup**eracids.<sup>251</sup> Such resins are capable of protonating paraffins, but where polystyrene is the base polymer, the catalysts are somewhat unstable and are degraded during use. Where, however, a perfluorinated copolymer containing perfluoroalkanesulfonic acid groups is used, much higher stability results, and once again these materials show considerable commercial potential.<sup>252,253</sup> The various systems which have been de-

## **574 Chemical Reviews,** 1981, Vol. 81, **No.** 6

 $R = H$ , OH

## TABLE III. Separations on Polymeric Carriers<sup>a</sup>



## **Functionalized Polymers in Organic Synthesis**



**TABLE I11** *(Continued)* 



veloped and their relevant applications are shown in Table 11, section 2.

## **C. Polymeric Dyes and Photosensitizer Catalysts**

Several workers $47,48$  have examined the possibility of attaching dyes to polymeric supports and using these as indicators in redox reactions or as donor-acceptor substrates in biochemical reactions, since the redox reaction is accompanied by a distinct color change. Because of the variety of properties required in various biological and technological applications, tailor-made polymeric dyes have also been prepared. For example, a water-insoluble chromophore was attached to an amine homopolymer via a portion of the amine groups. The remainder were then converted into solubilizing groups such as sulfonates, $254$  making the whole polymeric dye water soluble.

Polymer-bound Rose Bengal2% has been synthesized and used to sensitize the generation of singlet molecular oxygen for photooxidations of olefins. The singlet oxygen exhibits three modes of reactions with olefins, 1,4-cycloaddition with conjugated dienes to yield cyclic peroxides, an ene-type reaction to form allylic hydroperoxides, and 1,2-cycloaddition to give 1,2-dioxetanes which cleave thermally to carbonyl-containing products. The advantages of using an insoluble photosensitizer lie in the fact that the dye can be readily separated from the product and reused. Supported systems such as these must be translucent. Some examples of polymeric photosensitizers applied **as** heterogeneous catalysts are shown in Table 11, section **3.** 

## **D. Polymeric Hydrolyzing Systems**

Polymers with an essential functional structure of enzymes have been prepared and used to promote enzyme-type reactions. $48,256,257$  Because the imidazole moiety of histidine is present in the active sites of several hydrolyzing enzymes, many synthetic polymers containing imidazole and benzimidazole groups have been prepared and used to catalyze the hydrolysis of esters and amides. The polymeric catalysis is attributed to specific adsorption of the substrate and cooperative interaction of imidazole and other groups of the active center. After hydrolysis, the product is eliminated from the polymer active center which is then available for further catalysis. The functionalized polymers which have been used as hydrolyzing systems are listed in Table 11, section **4.** 

## **E. Polymeric Phase-Transfer Catalysts**

Phase-transfer catalysis<sup>258</sup> is a relatively new phenomenon in which liquid-liquid or solid-liquid phase separated reactions are accelerated by the addition of organophilic onium salts or uncharged species such as crown ethers and cryptands. The catalysts aid the transfer of anions across the phase boundary either by complexing with the attendant metal cation or replacing it in an ion-pair equilibrium. When the same species are supported on a polymer resin, their catalytic action is essentially maintained while problems such as emulsification are eliminated. Costly catalysts such as optically active onium salts, crown ethers, and cryptands are also effectively retained in this manner and can readily be reused. The toxicological problems associated with crown ethers are also overcome. **A** significant number of quaternary ammonium salts bound to resins have been used in this context,<sup>259</sup> and these are listed in Table 11, section 5.1. Far fewer phosphonium salts have been examined, $^{260}$  despite their generally higher catalytic activity, and those which have been used appear in section 5.2. Finally, the supported crown ethers and cryptands<sup>260</sup> exploited as phasetransfer catalysts are listed in section **5.3.** With all of these species there is evidence for an increase in activity when the catalyst is separated from the polymer backbone by a spacer  $arm.^{261,262}$  However, the detailed mechanism(s) by which these systems operate is still the subject of much research, and no real consensus has yet emerged.

Problems associated with phase separation of an inorganic reagent and an organic substrate can also be overcome by the use of an appropriate solvent or cosolvent, such as the dipolar aprotic species, dimethyl sulfoxide, hexamethylphosphoramide etc. These have the disadvantage of being costly and difficult to dry and purify and of traces remaining teraciously with reaction products. Perhaps somewhat remarkably, when these species are supported on a resin, $263$  they continue to function as solvents or cosolvents and the above difficulties are overcome. Polymer-supported linear oligoethylene oxides have also been used in this context $264,265$ (Table 11, section 5.4), as well as a number of other linear polymers (section *5.5).* 

## *I V. Separations on Poiymeric Carriers*

Polymeric materials have been used widely as chromatographic column substrates and have proved useful in both gas-phase and liquid-phase separations. **A** full description of these areas lies outside the scope of this review, but the use of polymeric carriers in metal ion separations and racemate resolutions has involved polymer species with a close structural relationship to many of those systems already described, and hence these are now included.

## **A. Metal Ion Separatlons**

Metal ion separations are important in a number of analytical techniques, $483-486$  in metallurgical extrac-

#### Functionalized Polymers in Organic Synthesis

tion,<sup>487,488</sup> and in water treatment processes. Simple ion-exchange resins can of course be employed for these purposes, but in general they have poor selectivity. **A**  number of systems with considerably enhanced selectivity have therefore been developed over recent years. These generally have employed neutral ligands, the complexity of which has increased with time. Though at the present moment liquid-liquid ion exchange is used widely in metallurgical extractions, polymer-supported systems will have a significant role to play in the future. Table 111, section 1, lists some of the selective separations that have been achieved.

## **6. Resolution of Racemic Mixtures**

In addition to the traditional methods of resolution of racemic mixtures, $^{489,490}$  considerable progress has been reported on resolution by chromatographic methods using chiral stationary phases. In principle, enantiomers should move at different rates down a column by forming diastereomeric adsorbates, and indeed column chromatography with synthetic optically active polymer substrates are reported to achieve enhanced resolutions. Blaschke,<sup>491,492</sup> for example, has used N-[(S)-1-phenylethyl]acrylamides and other optically active polymers as adsorbents for the resolution of racemic mandelic acid and mandelamide. Other resolutions of interest are those which are carried out on columns of asymmetric complex-forming stationary phases by means of ligand-exchange chromatogra $phv.$ <sup>493-495</sup> Chiral crown ethers attached to polymeric supports have also been used to separate mixtures of enantiomers of primary amine salts.496

Recently, enzyme-like synthetic polymers containing chiral cavities have been prepared and used for resolution of racemic mixtures. $497-504$  The principle is to prepare a synthetic polymer with functional groups in an exact chiral relationship **(13)** by polymerizing vinyl monomers (A and B) attached to an optically active template molecule (T) (reaction 12). Subsequent removal of T leaves behind a chiral cavity, **13.** 



The polymer is prepared under conditions that lead to a rigid matrix to avoid the loss of the stereochemistry of the cavity. The functional groups in the cavity must undergo a readily reversible binding and cleavage reaction with the template, analogous to the active site in natural enzymes. Cavities prepared in this way preferentially interact with the enantiomer which has been used **as** template. Several enzyme-like polymers of this type have been used for resolution of enantiomers and are listed in Table 111, section 2.

#### *V. Organic Synfhesis on Polymeric Carriers*

There are a number of areas where polymer molecules have been employed as a convenient support upon which to carry out a synthesis. Completion of the latter is followed by cleavage of the product from the support. One example of this has already been described in section IIF. In principle, the synthesis can be a very complex one involving many steps, and quite clearly a resin support offers a convenient method of isolating and purifying the product at all stages of the synthesis. Merrifield's "solid-phase method" for producing polypeptides' initiated this technique, which has been subsequently applied to both oligosaccharide<sup>536</sup> and oligonucleotide synthesis.537 These are very specialized areas of application of polymer supports, the details of which again lie outside the scope of this review, and interested readers are directed to a number of more specialized

The same carrier principle has also been used in a number of asymmetric syntheses, in some cyclization and related reactions, and also in the detection of reaction intermediates.

#### **A. Asymmetric Syntheses**

In this technique an optically active compound or functional group is attached to a macromolecular support and then either a synthesis is carried out on the support or the optically active polymer is used as an asymmetric reagent or catalyst. Most applications have used the latter approach, but in principle, in order to achieve significant induction, at some stage in the reaction the substrate must become intimately associated with, or specifically bound to, the optically active center. At this point the polymer acts effectively as an asymmetric carrier species.

One example where the synthesis occurs unambiguously on the support is in the preparation of atrolactic acid<sup>541</sup> using 1,2-*O*-cyclohexylidene-α-D-xylofuranose bound to a polymeric tritylchloride polymer **(14)** (reaction 13). A polymer-supported optically active pri-Besis occurs una<br> **Example 19 and September 20 and S** 



mary alkoxy amine has been used in a similar role in the synthesis of chiral 2-alkylcyclohexanones.<sup>542</sup>

There are many more examples of polymer-supported optically active metal complexes and chiral bases being employed in asymmetric induction, and also more recently chiral phase-transfer catalysts. Table **IV,** section 1, lists these and the corresponding reactions in which they have been used.

#### **6. Cyclization Reactions**

In conventional cyclization reactions a high dilution technique is used in order to promote intramolecular reaction. When a rigid polymer support is used, the

## **TABLE** IV. Organic Syntheses on Polymeric Carriers



## **Functionalized Polymers in Organic Synthesis**

as trap

لر





**a** @=polymer; **@=polystyrene;** cell = cellulose.

 $p$ -O,NC<sub>p</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CO $p\text{-}\mathrm{CIC}_{b}\mathrm{H}_{4}\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ 

concentration of molecules to be cyclized on the polymer can be made higher than normally used in solution. This has reduced the synthetic problems encountered in the preparation of macrocyclic compounds such as cyclic peptides<sup>191,193,543</sup> and threaded macrocycles.<sup>544</sup> Dieckmann<sup>545</sup> and other cyclizations<sup>546,547</sup> have also been improved by this approach.

Cyclic peptides have been prepared by the stepwise synthesis of the linear peptide on a polymeric carrier followed by intramolecular cyclization. The selective Dieckmann cyclization of mixed esters using conventional techniques has not been reported. However, application of a polymeric support does improve the situation. With such a solid-phase technique, one

product remains attached to the polymer whereas the other one is obtained in solution, solving the problem encountered with separation of products in a high-dilution technique (reaction **14).** 



Insoluble polymer supports have also been used in the synthesis of catenanes and other threaded compounds. Undesirable nonthreaded byproducts are simply washed from the threaded macrocycle itself attached to the polymer.544 Subsequent cleavage yields the pure threaded compound (reaction 15).



In addition to these intramolecular reactions, intermolecular cyclization of polymer-attached chains have also been reported $546$  (reaction 16).



#### **C. Detection of Reactive Intermediates**

Among the various advantages associated with functionalized insoluble polymers, the possibility of maintaining mutual separation of reactive functional groups bound to the same polymer support is particularly attractive, and exploitation of this has been described earlier. Derivatized polymeric beads are generally functionalized throughout their interiors, and only a negligible fraction of reactive sites are at the surface of the bead. Hence, two highly reactive compounds may become inert toward each other when attached to two polymers, as was demonstrated in the case of polymeric trityllithium **(15)** and a polymeric however, reaction proceeded as in eq 17, because the

active ester (16). Upon addition of an enolizable ketone, however, reaction proceeded as in eq 17, because the 
$$
\bigoplus_{P_{h}} \bigoplus_{P_{h}} \bigoplus_{I_{h}}
$$

$$
15
$$
\n
$$
15
$$
\n
$$
16
$$
\n
$$
16
$$
\n
$$
16
$$
\n
$$
(17b)
$$
\n
$$
16
$$
\n(17c)

free carbanion was able to migrate from one resin to the other.<sup>548</sup>

**This** clear demonstration of the existence of a reactive intermediate **has** become known **as** the three-phase test, and other examples are listed in Table **IV,** section **3.** In principle, the technique involves the generation of a reaction intermediate from an insoluble polymeric precursor and its trapping by a second solid-phase reagent. The isolation and detection of an adduct provide positive evidence for the liberated free reactive intermediate.

While benzyne has been isolated **as** a stable entity in rigid matrices at very low temperature, its lifetime in solution and in the **gas** phase is limited by dimerization to form biphenylene. However, by generation of ben-



zyne on a functionalized polymer, $549$  the ubiquitous dimerization reaction of this reactive molecule is suppressed and its lifetime extended. This allows other products to be made more readily (reaction 18).

#### *VI. References*

- **(1) M. J. Astle in "Ion Exchangers in Organic and Biochemistry", C. Calman and T. R. E. Kressman, Eds., Interscience, New**
- York, **1957,** Chapter **36, 658. (2) R.** B. Merrifield, *J. Am. &em.* Soc., **85,2149 (1963);** *Science (Washington, D.C.),* **150,178 (1965);** *Adu. Enzymol.,* **32,221**
- 
- 
- (1969).<br>(3) A. McKillop and D. W. Young, *Synthesis*, 401, 481 (1979).<br>(4) A. Akelah, *Brit. Polym. J.*, in press.<br>(5) G. R. Stark, Ed., "Biochemical Aspects of Reactions on Solid Supports", Academic Press, New York, **1971.**
- **B70, 322 (1973).**
- 
- **(6) L. P.** Ellinger, *Chem.* SOC. *Annu. Re*  **(7)** C. **U.** Pittman and G. 0. Evans, *CHEMTECH,* **560 (1973).**  *(8)* A. Ledwith and D. C. Sherrington in "Molecular Behaviour and the Development of Polymeric Materials", A. Ledwith and A. M. North, Eds., Chapman and Hall, London, **1974,**  Chapter **9,** p **303.**
- 
- (9) C. C. Leznoff, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 3, 65 (1974).<br>(10) C. G. Overberger and K. N. Sannes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
- *Engl.*, 13, 99 (1974).<br>
(11) E. C. Blossey and D. C. Neckers, "Solid Phase Synthesis", .. Halstead Press, New York, **1975.'**
- 
- (12) D. C. Neckers, J. Chem. Educ., 52, 695 (1975).<br>(13) A. Patchornik and M. A. Kraus, in "Encyclopedia of Polymer<br>Science and Technology", Suppl. Vol. 1, H. F. Mark, N. G.<br>Gaylord, and N. M. Bikales, Eds., Interscience, **1976. D 468.**
- **(14)** A. Paichornik and M. Kraus, *Pure Appl. Chem.,* **43, 503 (1975); 46, 183 (1976).**
- **(15) J. I.** Crowley and H. Rapoport, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* **9,135 (1976).**
- **(16)** G. A. Crosby, *Aldrichim. Acta,* **9, 15 (1976). (17)** N. **K.** Mathur and R. E. Williams. *J. Macromol. Sci.. Rev.*
- *Macromol. Chem.,* **C15, 117 (1976). (181** W. Heitz. *Adu. Polvm. Sa..* **23. 1 (19771.**  '
- **(19)** P. **Hodge,** *Chem. &.,* **14,237 (i97&**
- 
- 
- (20) D. C. Neckers, CHEMTECH, 108 (1978).<br>(21) C. C. Leznoff, Acc. Chem. Res., 11, 327 (1978).<br>(22) G. Manecke and W. Storck, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 17,
- 
- 
- 657 (1978).<br>
(23) A. Patchornik and M. Kraus, *CHEMTECH*, 118 (1979).<br>
(24) P. Hodge and D. C. Sherrington, Eds., "Polymer-Supported<br>
Reactions in Organic Synthesis", Wiley, London, 1980.<br>
(25) N. K. Mathur, C. K. Narang,
- 
- 
- (26) A. Akelah, *Synthesis*, 413 (1981).<br>(27) See ref 25, pp 54–58.<br>(28) J. M. Brow<u>n in "Enzymic and Non-Enzymic Catalys</u>is", P<sub>.</sub> Dunill, A. Wiseman, and N. Blackbrough, Eds., &lis and Harwood, Chichester, UK, **1980,** p **120.**
- **(29)** See ref **25,** pp **13-20.**
- E.g., J. R. Millar, D. G. Smith, W. E. Marr, and T. R. E. Kressman, *J. Chem. SOC.,* 218 (1963).
- E.g., K. A. Kun and R. Kunin, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-I,* **7,**  2689 (1968).
- J. C. Moore, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A,* 2, 835 (1964).
- See ref 13, p 701.
- J. M. J. Frechet and K. E. Haque, *Macromolecules,* 8, 130 (1975). J. M. J. Frechet and L. J. Nuyens, *Can. J. Chem.,* 54, 926
- $(1976)$
- S. L. Regen, *Macromolecules,* 8, 689 (1975).
- (37) S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 96, 5275 (1974); 97, 3108 (1975). K. W. Pepper, H. M. Paisley, and M. A. Young, *J. Chem.*
- *SOC.,* 4097 (1953). G. D. Jones in "Styrene", R. H. Boundy and R. F. Bayer,
- 
- Eds., Reinhold, New York, 1952, Chapter 14, p 674. W. Heitz and R. Michels, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.,* 227 (1973).
- R. Michels and W. Heitz, *Makromol. Chem.,* 176,245 (1975).
- *S.* L. Regen and D. P. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.,* 40, 1669 (1975).
- H. M. Relles and R. W. Schluenz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 96, 6469 (1974).
- A. Akelah and M. El-Borai, *Polymer,* 21, 255 (1980).
- (45) A. Akelah, unpublished results.
- G. A. Crosby, N. M. Weinshenker, and H. S. Uk, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 97, 2232 (1975). H. G. Cassidy and K. A. Kuhn, "Oxidation-Reduction
- Polymers", Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1965. A. S. Lindsey, *Rev. Macromol. Chem.,* 4, 1 (1970).
- 
- S. Tonimoto, J. Horikawa, and R. Oda, *Kogyo Kagaku Zas- shi,* 70,1269 (1967) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 68,69406h (1968)l; *Yuki Gosei Kagaku Shi,* 27,989 (1969) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 72,32306g (1970)l.
- *S.* Tanimoto, T. Miyake, and M. Okano, *Synth. Commun.,*  4, 193 (1974).
- G. A. Crosby and M. Kato, *J. Am.,Chem. SOC.,* 99,278 (1977).
- C. Yaroslavskv and E. Katchalski, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 5173  $(52)$
- (1972). Y. Wolman, S. Kivity, and M. Frankel, *J. Chem.* SOC., *Chem. Commun.,* 629 (1967).
- M. Rubinstein and A. Patchornik, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2881 (1972).
- N. M. Weinshenker and C. M. Shen, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  3281, 3285 (1972).
- H. Ito, N. Takamatsu, and I. Ichikizaki, *Chem. Lett.,* 577 (1975).
- J. Brown and R. E. Williams, *Can. J. Chem.,* 49,3765 (1971).  $(57)$
- T. Tagaki, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B,* 5, 1031 (1967).
- T. Tagaki, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.,* 12, 681 (1974).
- 
- T. Tagaki, J. *Appl. Polym. Sci.,* 19, 1649 (1975). C. R. Harrison and P. Hodge, *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans.*  1,605 (1976); *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1009 (1974).
- F. Helfferich and D. B. Luten, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.,* 8, 2899
- $(1964)$
- G. Cainelli, G. Cardillo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** 98, 6737 (1976). G. Cardillo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*
- 3985 (1976).
- N. M. Weinshenker. G. M. Crosby, and J. Y. Wong, *J. Org. Chem.,* 40, 1966 (1975). C. C. Leznoff and J. Y. Wong, *Can. J. Chem.,* 50,2892 (1972).
- 
- 
- 
- J. Y. Wong and C. C. Leznoff, Can. J. Chem., 51, 2452 (1973).<br>C. C. Leznoff and D. U. Dixit, Can. J. Chem., 55, 3351 (1977).<br>T. M. Fyles and C. C. Leznoff, Can. J. Chem., 54, 935 (1976).<br>C. C. Leznoff, T. M. Fyles, and J.
- 
- *Chem.,* 55, 1143 (1977). C. C. Leznoff and J. Y. Wong, *Can. J. Chem.,* 51,3756 (1973). J. Y. Wong, C. Manning, and C. C. Leznoff, *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.,* 13, 666 (1973).
- 
- C. C. Leznoff and S. Greenberg, *Can. J. Chem.,* 54, 3824  $(73)$ (1976).
- M. B. Shambhu and G. **A.** Digenis, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1627 (1973)
- R. L. Letsinger, M. J. Kornet, V. Mahadevan, and D. M. Jerina, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 86, 5163 (1964).
- M. A. Kraus and **A.** Patchornik, *Zsr. J. Chem.,* **9,** 269 (1971); *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 93, 7325 (1971).
- F. Camps, J. Castells, M. Ferrando, and J. Font, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1713 (1971).
- F. Manescalchi, M. Orena, and D. Savoia, *Synthesis,* 445 (1979). W. R. Roush, D. Feitler, and J. Rebek, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*
- 1391 (1974).
- F. Camps, J. Castells, J. Font, and F. Vela, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1715 (1971). W. Heitz and R. Michels, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 11,  $(81)$
- 298 (1972). S. V. McKinley and J. W. Rakshys, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Chem.*   $(82)$
- *Commun.,* 134 (1972).

(83) F. Camps, J. Castells, and F. Vela, *Ann. Quim.,* **70,** 374  $(1974)$ 

**Akelah and Sherrlngton** 

- (84)  $\dot{J}$ . Castells, J. Font. and A. Virgili. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trns. 1,* 1 (1979). '
- (85) P. Hodge and C. Richardson, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Com-mun.,* 622 (1975).
- (86) D. C. Sherrington, D. C. Graig, J. Dagleish, G. Domin, J. Taylor, and G. V. Meehan, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 13, 73 (1977).<br>(87) C. R. Harrison and P. Hodge, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Com-*<br>(87) C. R. Harrison and P. Hodge, *J*
- *mun.*, 813 (1978).<br>
(88) C. R. Harrison, P. Hodge, and W. J. Rogers, Synthesis, 41
- **(1** 9771
- (88) C. R. Harrison, P. Hodge, and W. J. Rogers, *Synthesis,* <sup>41</sup>, - . . , . (89) R. Appel, W. Struver, and L. Willms, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* <sup>905</sup> (1976).
- (90) R. Appel and L. Willms, *J. Chem. Res. (S),* 84 (1977).
- (91) K. Horiki, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 4103 (1976).
- (92) R. Michels and W. Heitz, *Makromol. Chem.,* 176,245 (1975). (93) C. U. Pittman and R. M. Hanes, *J. Org. Chem.,* 42, 1194 (1977).
- (94) M. J. Farrall, T. Durst, and J. M. J. Frechet, *Tetrahedron*
- *Lett.,* 203 (1979). (95) L. C. Dorman and J. Love, *J. Org. Chem.,* 34, 158 (1969).
- (96) C. Yaroslavsky, A. Patchornik, and E. Katchalski, Tetrahe*dron Lett.,* 3829 (1970).
- (97) C. Yaroslavsky, A. Patchornik, and E. Katchalski, *Isr. J. Chem.,* 8, 37 (1970).
- (98) Y. Yanagisawa, M. Akiyama, and M. Okawara, *Kogyo Kaga- ku Zasshi,* 72,1399 (1969) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 71,113410t (1969)l.
- (99) M. Zupan and A. Pollak, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 715 (1975).
- (100) M. L. Hallensleben, *Angew. Makromol. Chem.,* 27, 223 M. L. Hallensleben, *Angew. Makromol. Chem., 2*7, 223<br>(1972).<br>M. Okawara, Y. Oiji, and E. Imoto, *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi,*<br>65, 1658 (1962) *[Chem. Abstr.*, 58, 8051d (1963)].
- 
- J. M. J. Frechet, J. M. Farrall, and L. J. Nuyens, *J. Macromol. Sci. Chem.,* A-11, 507 (1977).
- J. Z. Zabicky-Zissman, I. Oren, and E. Katchalski, U.S. Patent 3700610<sup>°</sup> (1972)
- M. Okawara, Y. Oiji, and E. Imoto, *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi,*  65, 1658 (1962) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 58, 8051g (1963)l. W. G. Lloyd and T. E. Durocher, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.,* 7,2025
- (1963).
- 
- (106) S. Cacchi and L. Caglioti, *Synthesis,* 64 (1979). A. Bongini, G. Cainelli, M. Contento, and F. Manescalchi,
- *Synthesis,* 143 (1980). (108) G. Manecke and M. Stark, *Makromol. Chem.,* 176, 285 (1975). (109) M. L. Hallensleben, *Angew. Makrmol. Chem.,* 31,143 (1973).
- (110) G. Manecke and **P.** Reuter, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym.* . *Symp.,* .. 62,
- 227 (1978). J. A. Moore and J. J. Kennedy, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Com-*
- *mun.,* 1079 (1978). R. E. Williams, J. Brown, and D. R. Lauren, *Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem. SOC., Diu. Polym. Chem.,* 13, (2), 823 (1972).
- J. Nishino, S. Kondo, T. Yura, K. Tamaki, and Y. Sakaguchi, *Kobunshi Ronbunshu*, 31, 244 (1974).  $(113)$
- *Kobunshi'Ronbunshu.* (114) H. W. Gibson and F. C. Bailey, *J, Chem. SOC., Chem. Com-*
- *mun.,* 815 (1977).
- *(115)* B. Sansoni and 0. Sigmund, *Naturwiss.,* **48,** 593 (1961).
- (116) ,, G. A. Crosbv. US. Patent 3928293 (1975) *IChem. Abstr.,* **84,**   $106499u(1976)$
- **(1 974).**  (117) M. L. Hallensleben, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Symp.*, 47, 1
- 

(1972).

- (118) See ref 24, p 121. (119) D. S. Kemp and J. Reczek, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1031 (1977). (120) ,, G. Manecke. G. Kossmehl, G. Hartwich, and R. Gawlik. *An-gew. Makrohol. Chem.,* 2; 86 (1968).
- (121) M. Gorecki and A. Patchornik, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **303**,  $36$  (1973).
- (122) D. J. Harpp, J. Adams, J. G. Gleason, D. Mullins, and K.
- Steliou, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3989 (1978).<br>(123) M. L. Hallens, *J. Polym. Sci., Part C,* 41, 1 (1974).
- (124) A. S. Lindsey, S. E. Hunt, and N. *G.* Savill, *Polymer,* 7,479  $(1966)$
- (125)  $\check{C}$ . E. Adams and C. N. Kimberlin, U.S. Patent 2899396 (1959) [*Chem. Abstr.*, 54, 1770 (1960)].<br>(126) T. L. Cairns, H. W. Gray, A. K. Scheider, and R. S. Schreiber, J. L. Cairns, H. W. Gray, A. K. Scheider, and
- 
- 43, 2618 (1978).
- (128) G. Gardillo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  3985 (1976).
- *Chem. SOC.,* **98,** 6737 (1976). (129) G. Gainelli, G. Cardilo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, J. *Am.*  (130) See ref 24, p 88. (131) H. Schuttenberg, G. Klump, U. Kaczmar, S. R. Turner, and

R. C. Schulz, *J. Macromol. Sci., Chem.,* 7, 1085 (1973). (132) B. C. Kaczmar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 12,430 (1973). (133) Y. Sato, N. Kunieda, and M. Kinoshita, *Chem. Lett.,* 1023

- H. Schuttenberg and R. C. Schulz, *Angew. Makromol. Chem.,*  18, 175 (1971).
- H. Schuttenberg, G. Klump, U. Kaczmar, S. **R.** Turner, and **R.** C. Schulz, *Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem. SOC., Diu. Polym. Chem.,* 13, 866 (1972).
- C. **R.** Harrison and P. Hodge, J. *Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans.*  1,-2252 (1976).
- J. A. Greig, **R.** D. Hancock, and D. C. Sherrington, *Eur. Po-lvm. J.,* 16. 293 (1980). T. Tagaki,'J. *Polym. hi., Part B,* 5, 1031 (1967).  $(137)$
- $(138)$
- R. Michels, M. Kato, and W. Heitz, *Makromol. Chem.,* 177, 2311 (1976).
- M. Kato, R. Michels, and W. Heitz, J. *Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.,* 14, 413 (1976).
- G. Manecke, C. Bahr, and C. Reich, *Angew. Chem.,* 71,646 (1959).
- G. Manecke, G. Kossmehl, **R.** Gawlik, and G. Hartwich, *Angew. Makromol. Chem.,* 6, 89 (1969).
- $(143)$ A. F. Lunin, M. M. Sakharov, L. M. Shostakova, and L. S. Glebov, *Chem. Abstr.,* **81,** 169295h (1974).
- M. M. Sakharov, L. M. Shestakova, and A. F. Lunin, *Kinet.<br><i>Katal.,* 14, 808 (1973).<br>K. Kondo, T. Fujita, and K. Takemoto, *Makromol. Chem.*,
- 4, 7 (1973).
- 
- 14, (1910).<br>L. D. Rollamann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 97, 2132 (1975).<br>W. H. Daly and D. C. Kaufman, Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem.<br>Soc., Div. Polym. Chem., 14, 1181 (1973).<br>L. W. Christensen and D. J. Heacock, Synthesis, 50 (1978).
- 
- H. Kamogawa, *Seni Kogyo Shikensho Zho,* 68, 61 (1964). H. Kamogawa, J. M. Larkin, K. Toei, and H. G. Cassidy, *J.*
- *Polym. Sci., Part A,* 2, 3603 (1964). S. N. Ushakov, 0. M. Klimova, 0. S. Karchmarchik, and E.
- M. Smul'skaya, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR,* 143, 231 (1962). L. P. Melby and D. R. Strobach, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 89,450
- (1967). J. M. J. Frechet and **K.** E. Haque, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 3065
- (1975).<br>J. M. J. Frechet, Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Polym.  $(154)$
- J. M. J. Frechet, *Polym. Prepr., Am. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Diu. Polym. Chem.,* 16, 255 (1975). T. M. Fyles, C. C. Leznoff, and J. Weatherston, *Can.* J.  $(155)$
- *Chem.,* 56, 1031 (1978). T. M. Fyles, C. C. Leznoff, and J. Weatherston, *Can.* J.  $(156)$
- *Chem..* **55.** , 4135 ~-- (1977). . C. C. Leznoff and T. **M.** Fyles, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Com-*
- *mun.,* 251 (1976). J. T. Ayres and C. K. Mann, *Polym. Lett.,* 3, 505 (1965).
- J. M. J. Frechet and C. Schuerch, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 93,492 (1971).
- S. Hanessian, T. Ogawa, Y. Guindon, J. L. Kamennof, and R. Roy, *Carbohydr. Res.,* 38, C-15 (1974). J. M. J. Frechet and G. Pelle, J. *Chem. SOC., Chem. Com-*
- *mun.,* 225 (1975). M. J. Farrall and J. M. J. Frechet, *J. Org. Chem.,* 41, 3877
- (1976).
- E. Seymour and J. M. J. Frechet, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1149 (1976).
- J:-M.'J. Frechet, L. J. Nuyens, and E. Seymour, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 101, 432 (1979). J. M. J. Frechet. *Polvm. Prem..* ., *Am. Chem. SOC.. Diu. Polvm.*   $(164)$
- $(165)$ *Chem.,* 17, 515 '(1973). J. M. J. Frechet and E. Seymour, *Zsr.* J. *Chem.,* 17, 253
- $(166)$ (1978).
- M. J. Farrall and J. M. Frechet, J. *Am. Chem. Sac.,* 100,7998
- (1978). C. C. Leznoff and W. Sywanyk, J. *Org. Chem.,* 42, 3203 (1977).  $(168)$ C. C. Leznoff and J. M. Goldwasaer, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1875
- (1977).
- $(170)$ J. M. Goldwasser and C. C. Leznoff, *Can.* J. *Chem.,* 56,1562 (1978).
- F. Camps, J. Castells, and J. Pi, *An. Quim.,* 70, 848 (1974). Reference 24, p 312.
- (173) P. H. Chapman and D. Walker, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.,* 690 (1975).
- $(174)$ R. L. Letsinger and V. Mahadevan, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 87, 3526 (1965).
- R. L. Letsinger and V. Mahadevan, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 88, 5319 (1966).
- R. L. Letsinger and M. J. Kornet, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 85, 3045 (1963).
- (177) D. M. Dixit and C. C. Leznoff, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.,* 798 (1977). D. M. Dixit and C. C. Leznoff, *Zsr.* J. *Chem.,* 17,248 (1978). (178).
- $(179)$ U. Zehavi and A. Patchornik, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 95, 5673
- (197
- (180) M. Rubinstein, B. Amit, and A. Patchornik, Tetrahedron Lett., 1445 (1975).<br>
Lett., 145 (1975).<br>
(181) D. H. Rich and S. K. Gurwara, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-
- mun., 610 (1973).
- **Chemical Reviews, 1981, Vol. 81, No. 6 583**
- (182) D. H. Rich and S. K. Gurawara, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 97,1575
- (1975). (183) D.-H:Rich and S. K. Gurwara, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 301 (1975). (184) F. S. Tjoeng, E. K. Tong, and R. S. Hodges, J. *Org. Chem.,*  43. 4190 119781.
- (185) **F.'S.** Tjoeng,-W. Shines, S. S. Pierre, and R. S. Hodges,
- (186) T. L. Aug an8J. Harwood, J. *Macromol. Sci., Chem.,* 7,1079 *Biochim. Bio hys. Acta,* 490, 489 (1977).
- (1973).<br>
(187) R. L. Letsinger, M. J. Kornet, V. Mahadevan, and D. M.<br>
Jerina, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 5163 (1964).<br>
(188) G. E. Martin, M. B. Shambhu, S. R. Shakhshir, and G. A.<br>
Digenis, J. Org. Chem., 43, 4571 (1978).<br>
- 
- *Commun..* 619 (1974).
- (190) G. E. Martin, **M.** B. Shambhu, and G. A. Digenis, J. *Pharm. Sci.,* 67, 110 (1978).
- (191) M. Fridkin, A. Patchornik, and E. Katchalski, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 88,3164 (1966); 87,4646 (1965); 90,2953 (1968); *Zsr.* J. *Chem..* 3. 698 11965).
- (192) A. Patchornik, M. Fridkin, and E. Katchalski, German Pat-
- ent 1913486 [*Chem. Abstr.*, **72**, 66932y (1970)].<br>(193) G. R. Marshall and I. E. Liener, *J. Org. Chem.*, **35**, 867 (1970).
- (194) T. Wieland and C. Birr, *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.,* 5,310 1966)
- (195) E. Flauigan and G. R. Marshall, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2403 (1970).
- (196) T.-Wieland and C. Birr, *Chimia,* 21, 581 (1967). (197) R. Kalir, M. Fridkin, and A. Patchornik, *Eur.* J. *Biochem.,*
- 
- **42,** 151 (1974).<br>
(198) G. T. Pause and D. A. Laufer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 4181<br>
(199) S. V. Besselin, Y. A. Devidenial: S. M. Andrew and A. A.
- (199) S. V. Rogozhin, Y. A. Davidovich, S. M. Andreav, and A. A. Yurtanow, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim,* 212, 108 (1973).
- (ZOO) M. Fridkin, A. Patchornik, and E. Katchalski, *Biochemistry,* 11, 466 (1972).
- (201) S. M. Andreav, V. A. Tsiryapkin, N. A. Samoilova, N. V. Mironova, Y. A. Davidovich, and S. V. Rogozhin, *Synthesis,*  303 (1977).
- (202) D. A. Laufer, T. M. Chapman, D. F. Marlbotough, V. M. Vaidya, and E. R. Blout, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 90,2696 (1968).
- (203) M. Okawara, M. Akiyama, and N. Norita, J. *Polym. Sci., Part A-I,* 7, 1299 (1969).
- (204) M. Akiyama, Y. Yanagiaawa, and M. Okawara, J. *Polym. Sci., Part A-I,* 7, 1905 (1969).
- (205) M. Akiyama, K. Shimizu, and M. Narita, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  1015 (1976).
- (206) G. Manecke and E. Haake, *Naturwiss.,* 55,343 (1968). (207) R. Kalir, A. Warshawsky, M. Fridkin, and A. Patchornik, Eur. J. *Biochem.,* 59, 55 (1975).
- (208) G. Hensel, G. Bovermann, and W. Gohring, *Angew. Chem.,*  89, 681 (1977).
- (209) D. H. Rich and S. K. Gurwara, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 97,1575
- (1975). (210) D. H. Rich and S. K. Gurwara, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 301 (1975).
- (211) A. V. Schally, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.,* 43, 393  $(1971).$
- (212) F. Svec, J. Hradil, J. Coupek, and J. Kalal, *Angew. Makro-*
- (213) R. C. Schulz and H. Schuttenberg, *Angew. Chem.,* 88, *848 mol. Chem.,* 48, 135 (1975).  $(1976)$
- (214) E. Atherton, D. L. J. Clive, and R. C. Sheppard, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* 97,6584 (1975). (215) H. C. Beyermann, E. W. B. DeLeer, and W. van Vossen, J.
- *Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 929 (1972). (216) Y. Nambu. T. Endo. and M. Okawara. J. *Polvm. Sci.. Polvm.* .. ,"
- *Chem. Ed:,* 18, 2793 (1980). (217) C. L. Borders, D. L. MacDonell, and J. L. Chambers, J. *Org.*
- *Chem.,* 37, 3549 (1972).
- (218) G. Cainelli, F. Manescalchi, and M. Panunzio, *Synthesis*, 472
- 
- (1976).<br> *J. M. Miller, K. H. So, and H. Clark, J. Chem. Soc., Chem.*<br> *Commu., 4*66 (1978).<br> *Chem.,* 28, 698 (1963).<br> *Chem.,* 28, 698 (1963).<br> *Reference* 24, p 92.
- 
- 
- G. Cainelli and F. Manescalchi, Synthesis, 141 (1979).<br>R. Yamada, T. Noguchi, Y. Urata, and K. Okabe, *Mem. Def.*<br>Acad. Math. Phys. Chem. Eng. Yokosuka, 667 (1969) [Chem.<br>Abstr., 73, 13813z (1970)]; 13 (1973) [Chem. Abstr. 1974)].
- $(224)$ Reference 24, p 95.
- 
- 
- 
- G. Gelbard and S. Colonna, *Synthesis*, 113 (1977).<br>G. Cainelli and F. Manescalchi, *Synthesis*, 723 (1975).<br>K. Shimo and S. Wakamatsu, J. Org. Chem., 28, 504 (1963).<br>K. J. Rowe, K. L. Kaufmann, and C. Piantadosi, J. Org.<br> M. Collins and R. J. Laws, *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 1,*
- $(229)$ 2013 (1973).

#### **584** Chemical Reviews, 1981, Vol. 81, No. 6 *Akelah and Sherrington* **Akelah and Sherrington**

- (230) T. Iversen and R. Johansson, *Synthesis,* 823 (1979).
- (231) G. Cainelli, F. Manescalchi, and A. **U.** Ronchi, *J. Org. Chem.,*  43, 1598 (1978). (232) S. L. Regen, *J. Org. Chem.,* 42, 875 (1977).
- 
- (233) G. Manecke, C. Bahr, and C. Reich, *Angew. Chem.,* 71, 160 (1959).
- (234) G. Cainelli, M. Contento, F. Manescalchi, and R. Regnoli, *J. Chem. SOC., Perkins Trans. I,* 2516 (1980).
- (235) W. R. Roush, D. Feitler, and J. Rebek, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  1391 (1974). ~~~ **~I**
- L. Carpino, J. R. Williams, and A. Lopusinski, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 450 (1978).
- $(237)$ M. L. Hallensleben and H. Wurm, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.,* 15, 163 (1976).
- G. Greber and S. Merchant, *Int. Symp. Macromol. Chem.,*   $(238)$ *Budapest, 5,* 131 (1969).
- L. M. Dowling and G. R. Stark, *Biochemistry,* 8,4728 (1969). P. Frankhauser, P. Fries, P. Stahala, and M. Brenner, *Helv.*
- *Chim. Acta, 57*, 271 (1974).<br> *Chim. Acta, 57*, 271 (1974).<br>
(241) R. A. Laursen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88, 5344 (1966).
- A. Schellenberger, H. Jeschkeit, R. Henkel, and H. Lehmann, Z. Chem., 7, 191 (1967).  $(242)$
- Y. Chauvin, D. Commereuc, and F. Dawans, *Prog. Polym.* Sci., *5,* 95 (1977).
- F. Helfferich, "Ion-Exchange", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1982.
- (245) N:G. Polyanskii, *Russ. Chem. Reu.,* 31, 496 (1962); 39, 244 (1970).
- 
- (246) M. Sugihara, *Sci. Ind.*, 37, 334 (1963).<br>(247) E. C. Blossey, L. M. Turner, and D. C. Neckers, *Tetrahedron*<br>*Lett.*, 1823 (1973); J. Org. Chem., 40, 959 (1975).<br>(248) D. C. Neckers, D. A. Kooistra, and G. W. Green,
- *Chem.* **SOC.,** 94, 9284 (1972). (249) V. L. Magnotta, B. C. Gates, and G. C. A. *Schuit, J. Chem.*
- *SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 342 (1976).
- 
- (250) V. L. Magnotta and B. C. Gates, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym.*<br>Chem. Ed., 15, 1341 (1977); *J. Catal.*, 46, 266 (1977).<br>(251) G. A. Olah, A. M. White, and D. M. O'Brien, Carbonium<br>Ions, 4, 1702 (1973).<br>(252) G. A. Olah, J.
- $(1977)$
- 
- (253) *G.* A.'Olah and M. Meider, *Synthesis,* 358 (1978). (254) D. J. Dawson, K. M. Otteson, P. C. Wang, and R. E. Wingard, *Macromolecules,* 11, 320 (1978).
- (255) E. C. Blossey, D. C. Neckers, A. L. Thayer, and A. P. Schaap,<br>*J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 95, 5820 (1975).<br>(256) C. G. Overberger and J. C. Salamone. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2, 217
- (256) C. G. Overberger and J. C. Salamone, *Acc. Chem. Res.,* 2,217  $(1969).$
- (257) T. Kunitake and Y. Okahata, *Adv. Polym.* Sci., 20, 159 (1976).
- (258) E. V. Dehmlow, *CHEMTECH,* 20 (1975); *Angew. Chem..* 86. 187 (1974); 89, 521 (1977).<br>(259) S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 5956 (1975).
- 
- 
- (260) M. Cinquini, S. Colonna, H. Molinari, F. Montanari, and P.<br>Tundo, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 394 (1976).<br>(261) J. M. Brown and J. A. Jenkins, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Com-<br>mun., 458 (1976).
- (262) H. Molinari, F:'Montanari, and P. Tundo, *J. Chem.* SOC., *Chem. Commun.,* 639 (1977). (263) M. Tomoi, T. Takubo, M. Ikeda, and H. Kakiuchi, *Chem.*
- *Lett.,* 473 (1976).
- (264) S. L. Regen and L. Dulak, *J. Am. Chem.* SOC., 99,623 (1977). (265) W. M. MacKenzie and D. C. Sherrington, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 541 (1978).
- (266) C. W. Davies and G. G. Thomas, *J. Chem. SOC.,* 1607 (1952). (267) I. Sakurada, Y. Sakaguchi, T. Ono, and T. Ueda, *Makromol.*
- *Chem.,* 91, 243 (1966). (268) S. Yashikawa and 0. K. Kim, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* 39,1729
- (1966). (269) S. Yoshikawa and 0. K. Kim, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* 39,1515 (1966).
- (270) R. H. Hasek, P. G. Gott, R. H. Meen, and J. C. Martin, *J. Org. Chem., 28, 2496 (1963).*<br>*Org. Chem., 28, 2496 (1963).*<br>R. F. Collins, *Chem. Ind., 736 (1957).*
- 
- (271) R. F. Collins, *Chem. Ind.,* 736 (1957). (272) S. Yamashita, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta,* 229, 301 (1971).
- (273) J. M. Bora, A. K. Saund, I. K. Sharma, and N. K. Mathur, *Indian J. Chem.,* 14B, 722 (1976).
- (274) W. Kern, H. Herold, and B. Scherlag, *Makromol. Chem.,* 17, 231 (1956).
- (275) L. Lawrence and W. J. Moore, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 73, 3973 (1951)
- (276) G. E. Underwood and F. E. Deathrage, *Science (Washington, D.C.),* 115, 95 (1952).
- (277) J. C. Paulson and F. E. Deathrage, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 205, 909<br>(1953).
- (278) J. C. Paulson, F. E. Deathrage, and E. F. Almy, *J. Am. Chem.*  SOC., 75, 2039 (1953). (279) J. R. Whitaker and F. E. Deathrage, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 77,
- 3360, 5298 (1955). (280) R. Kunin, "Ion-Exchange Resins", Wiley, New York, 1958.
- 
- (281) W. Kern and B. Scherhag, *Makromol. Chem.,* 20,209 (1958). (282) J. E. Hodge and C. E. Rist, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 74, 1498
- (283) T. J. Painter and W. T. J. Morgan, *Chem. Ind. (London),* 437 (1952).
- (284) H. Denel, *Mitt. Geb. Lebensmitt. Hyg.,* 46, 12 (1955). (1961).
- (285) J. S. Steinhardt and C. H. Fugitt, *J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand-*
- *ards,* 29,
- (286) N. Hartler and K. Hyllengren, *J. Polym.* Sci., 55,779 (1961). (287) P. J. Mill and R. Crimmin, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta,* 23,432
- $(1957).$ (288) J. C. Jain, 1. K. Sharma, M. K. Sahni, K. C. Gupta, and N.
- (289) G. F. Vesley and V. I. Stenberg, *J. Org. Chem.,* 36, 2548 K. Mathur, *Indian J. Chem.,* 15B, 766 (1977).  $(1971)$
- (290) D. F. Mowery, *J. Org. Chem.,* **26,** 3484 (1961).
- 
- 
- (291) S. Sussman, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, **38**, 1228 (1946).<br>(292) J. G. Moffatt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 85, 1118 (1963).<br>(293) V. I. Stenberg, G. F. Vesley, and D. Kubik, *J. Org.* 6 (293) V. I. Stenberg, G. F. Vesley, and D. Kubik, *J. Org. Chem.,* 36, 2550 (1971).
- (294) V. I. Stenberg, G. F. Vesley, and D. Kubik, *J. Org. Chem.,* 39, 2815 (1974).
- 
- (295) S. A. Patwardham and S. Dev, *Synthesis,* 348 (1974). (296) E. V. 0. John and S. S. Israelstam, *J. Org. Chem.,* 26, 240  $(1961)$
- (297) W. M. Harms and E. J. Eisenbraun, *Org. Prep. Proc. Int.,* 3, 239 (1971).
- 
- (298) L. T. Scott and J. 0. Naples, *Synthesis,* 209 (1973). (299) H. Moriyama, Y. Sugihara, and K. Nakanishi, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2851 (1968).
- (300) P. Mastagli, A. Floc'h, and G. Durr, *C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci.,* 235, 1402 (1952).
- 
- (301) W. H. Wasman, *J. Chem.* SOC., 3051 (1952). (302) V. J. Frilette, E. B. Mower, and M. K. Rubin, *J. Catal.,* 3, 25 (1964).
- (303) H. W. Heath and B. C. Gates, *Am. Inst. Chem. Eng. J.,* 18, 321 (1972).
- (304) B. C. Gates and L. N. Johanson, *J. Catal.,* 14, 69 (1969).
- (305) B. C. Gates and G. M. Schwab, *J. Catal.,* 15, 430 (1969). (306) B. C. Gates, J. S. Wisnouskas, and H. W. Heath, *J. Catal.,*
- 24, 320 (1972).
- (307) H. Pines and J. Manassen, *Adu. Catal.,* 16, 49 (1966).
- (308) P. Price and S. S. Israelstam, *J. Org. Chem.,* 29,2800 (1964).
- 
- 
- 
- (309) B. Loev and J. T. Massengale, J. Org. Chem., 22, 988 (1957).<br>(310) R. B. Wesley and B. C. Gates, J. Catal., 34, 288 (1954).<br>(311) M. S. Newman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 4740 (1953).<br>(312) C. L. Arcus, T. L. Howard, and
- (313) G. Bodamer and R. Kunin, *Ind. Eng. Chem.*, 43, 1082 (1951).<br>(314) M. J. Astele and J. A. Oscar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 26, 1713 (1961).<br>(315) M. Walter, H. Besendorf, and O. Schmider, *Helv. Chim.*<br>Acta, 44, 1546 (1961).
- 
- 
- (316) W. Neier and J. Woellner, *CHEMTECH,* 95 (1973).
- (317) J. D. Billimoria and N. F. Maclagan, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3257 (1954).
- (318) S. Affrossman and J. P. Murray, *J. Chem. SOC. B,* 1015 (1966).
- (319) V. C. Haskell and L. P. Hammett, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 71, 1284 (1949).
- (320) P. Riesz and L. P. Hammett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 76, 992 (1954).
- (321) C. H. Chen and L. P. Hammett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 80, 1329 (1958).
- (322) K. Arai and N. Ise, *Makromol. Chem.,* 176, 37 (1975).
- (323) K. Arai, N. Hagiwara, and N. Ise, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi*, 201 (1975). (324) H. Morawetz and J. A. Shafer, *J. Phys. Chem.,* 67, 1293
- (1963).
- (325) F. Svec, M. Bares, J. Zajic, and J. Kalal, *Chem. Ind. (Lon don),* 159 (1977).
- (326) E. M. Kosower and P. W. Patton, *J. Org. Chem.,* **26,** 1318  $(1961)$
- (327) A. J. Kirbyand **A.** G. Varvoglis, *J.* Am. *Chem.* **SOC.,** 39, 415 (1967).
- (328) J. M. Bobbitt and R. E. Doolittle, *J. Org. Chem.,* 29, 2298 (1964).
- 

(337) N. B. Loretta, *J. Org. Chem.,* 22, 346 (1957).

- (329) A. Galat, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 70, 3945 (1948). (330) C. L. Arcus, C. G. Gonzales, and D. F. C. Linnecar, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 1377 (1969).
- Soc., Chem. Commun., 1377 (1969).<br>(331) R. F. Prini and E. Baumgartner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **96**, 4489
- (332) R. F. Prini and D. Turyn, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, **69**, 1326 (1973).<br>
(333) R. L. Hinman and *J. Lang. J. Org. Chem.*. **29**, 1449 (1964). (333) R. L. Hinman and J. Lang, *J. Org. Chem.,* 29, 1449 (1964).

(334) M. J. Astle and F. P. Abbott, *J. Org. Chem.,* 21, 1228 (1956). (335) M. J. Astle and W. C. Gergel, *J. Org. Chem.*, 21, 493 (1956). (336) R. W. Hein, M. J. Astle, and J. R. Shelton, *J. Org. Chem.*, 26, 4874 (1961).

- 
- G. H. McCain, *J. Org. Chem.,* 23, 632 (1958). M. J. Astle and J. A. Zaslowsky, *Ind. Eng. Chem.,* 44, 2871
- (1952). J. M. Bobbitt and D. A. Scola, *J. Org. Chem.,* 25,560 (1960). B. G. Chatterjee, V. V. Rao, and €3. N. G. Mazumder, **J.** *Org.*
- *Chem.,* 30, 4101 (1965).
- $(342)$ F. LeGoffic, S. Sicsic, and C. Vincent, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  2845 (1976).<br>2845 (1976).<br>J. T. Kelly, U.S. Patent 2843642 (1958).
- $(343)$
- $(344)$
- T. J. Huang and S. Yurchak, **US.** Patent 3855343 (1974). V. L. Magnotta, B. C. Gates, and G. C. **A.** Schuit, *J. Chem.*   $(345)$ *SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 342 (1976).
- $(346)$ J. Kaspi and G. A. Olah, *J.* Og. *Chem.,* 43, 3142 (1978).  $(347)$ J. Kaspi, D. D. Montgomery, and G. A. Olah, *J. Org. Chem.,*  43, 3147 (1978).
- G. A. Olah and D. Meidar. *Svnthesis.* 671 (1978).  $(348)$
- G. A. Olah, T. Keumi, and D."Meidar, *Synthesis,* 929 (1978).
- 
- G. A. Olah and S. C. Narang, *Synthesis*, 690 (1978).<br>G. A. Olah, R. Malhotra, S. C. Narang, and J. A. Olah, *Synthesis,* 672 (1978).
- E. C. Blossey and D. C. Neckers, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 323  $(1974)$
- A. P. Schaap, A. L. Thayer, E. C. Blossey, and D. C. Neckers,<br>J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 3241 (1974).<br>R. E. Moser and H. G. Cassidy, *J. Polym. Sci., Part B*, 2, 545
- 1964)
- D. Bailey, D. Tirrell, C. Pinazzi, and 0. Vogl, *Macromole-*
- *cules,* 11, 312 (1978). I. Rosenthal and A. J. Archer, *Zsr. J. Chem.,* 12,897 (1974). D. J. Dawson, K. M. Otteson, P. C. Wang, and R. E. Wingard, *Macromolecules.* 11. 320 (1978).
- Macromolecules, 11, 320 (1978).<br>C. G. Overberger, M. Morimoto, and I. Cho, *Macromolecules*,  $(358)$ **2,** 553 (1969); *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 93, 3228 (1971).
- C. G. Overberger and M. Morimoto, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 93, 3222 (1971).
- $(360)$ C. G. Overberger, R. C. Glowaky, and P. H. Vandewyer, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.,* **95**, 6008 (1973).
- (361) C. G. Overberger and K. N. Sannes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. En l.,* 13, 99 fi974)
- C. 6. Overberger, T. S. Pierre, N. Vorchheimer, J. Lee, and S. Yaroslavsky, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 87, 296 (1965). T. C. Bruice and J. L. Herz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 86, 4109  $(362)$
- $(363)$ (1964).
- $(364)$ C. G. Overberger and C. M. Shen, *Bioorg. Chem.,* 1,l (1971).  $(365)$
- C. G. Overberger and Y. Okamoto, *Macromolecules,* 5,363 (1972).
- $(366)$ C. G. Overberger, R. Corett, J. C. Salamone, and S. Yaros-
- lavsky, *Macromolecules,* 1, 331 (1968).<br>C. G. Overberger, T. S. Pierre, C. Yaroslavsky, and S. Yar-<br>oslavsky, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 88, 1184 (1966).<br>R. L. Letsinger and I. S. Klaus, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 86, 3884  $(367)$
- $(368)$ 1964)
- C. G. Overberger, R. Sitaramaiah, T. S. Pierre, and S. Yaroslavsky, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 87, 3270 (1965). C. G. Overberger and H. Maki, *Macromolecules,* 3,214,220
- (1970).
- T. Shimidzu, A. Furata, and Y. Nakomoto, *Macromolecules,*   $(371)$
- 
- 7, 160 (1974). T. Kunitake and Y. Okahata, *Bioorg. Chem.,* 4, 136 (1975). C. G. Overberger, T. J. Pacansky, T. S. Pierre, and S. Yar-oslavsky, *J. Polym. Sci., Symp.,* 46, 209 (1974).
- C. G. Overberger, J. C. Salamone, and S. Yaroslavsky, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 89, 6231 (1967).  $(374)$  $(375)$
- T. Kunitake and Y. Okahata, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 98,7793 (1976). T. Kunitake, Y. Okahata, and R. Ando, *Macromolecules,* 7,  $(376)$
- 140 11974).  $(377)$
- T,-Kuni&ke and Y. Okahata, *Chem. Lett.,* 1057 (1974); *Macromolecules,* 9, 15 (1976). C. G. Overberger and J. Pacansky, *J. Polym. Sci., Symp.,* 45,  $(378)$
- 39 (1974).
- G. Shimidzu. A. Furuta. T. Watanabe. and S. Kato. *Macro-mol. Chem.,* '175, 119 (1974). I. Photaki and M. S. Dailsiotou, *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans.*
- *1,* 589 (1976). C. G. Overberger and K. W. Dixon, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym.*
- *Chem. Ed.,* 15, 1863 (1977).  $(382)$
- Y. Okamoto, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi,* 870 (1978). T. Kunitake and S. Shinkai, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 93, 4247,  $(383)$
- *4256* (1971).  $(384)$ T. Kunitake and S. Shinkai, *Makromol. Chem.,* 151, 127
- 1972).
- 
- Y. Imanishi, Y. Amimoto, T. Sugiwara, and T. Higashimura, Makromol. Chem., 177, 1401 (1976).<br>I. M. Klotz, G. P. Roger, and I. S. Scarpa, Proc. Natl. Acad.<br>Sci. U.S.A., 68, 263 (1971).<br>Sci. U.S.A., 68, 263 (1971).<br>T. W. Joh
- 
- H. *C. Kiefer, W. I. Congdon, I. S. <i>Scarpa, and I. M. Klotz, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **69**, 2155 (1972).  $(388)$
- T. Saegusa, H. Ikeda, and H. Fujii, *Macromolecules,* 5, 108  $(1972).$
- W. J. Spetnagel and I. M. Klotz, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym.*  $(390)$
- *Chem. Ed., 15, 621 (1977).*<br>I. M. Klotz, G. P. Royer, and A. R. Sloniewsky, *Biochemistry,*
- 8, 4752 (1969).<br>T. W. Johnson and I. M. Klotz, *Macromolecules*, 6, 788<br>(1973).  $(392)$
- (303)
- J. Suh and I. M. Klotz, *Bioorg. Chem.,* **I,** 165 (1977). V. S. Pshezhetskii, A. P. Lukjanova, and V. A. Kabanov,  $(394)$
- *Bioorg. Khim. (USSR), 1, 1458 (1975).*<br>V. S. Pshezhetskii, A. P. Lukjanova, and V. A. Kabanov, *Eur.*<br>*Polym. J.*, 13, 423 (1977).<br>W. E. Meyers and G. P. Royer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 99, 6141  $(395)$
- $(396)$ (1977).
- C. G. Overberger, T. S. Pierre, N. Vorchheimer, and S. Yar-oslavsky, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 85, 3513 (1963). C. G. Overberger and C. J. Podsiodly, *Bioorg. Chem.,* 3, 16
- (1974). C. *G.* Overberger, T. S. Pierre, and S. Yaroslavsky, *J. Am.*
- *Chem. SOC.,* 87, 4310 (1965).
- C. G. Overberger and J. C. Posdiodly, *Bioorg. Chem.,* 3, 35 (1974). T. Kunitake, F. Shimada, and C. Aso, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 91,
- $(401)$ 2716 (1969).
- S. Shinkai and T. Kunitake, *Polym. J.,* 4, 253 (1973). (402)
- $(403)$ **Y.** Okahata and T. Kunitake, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.,* 15, 2571 (1977). R. L. Letsinger and T. J. Savereide, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 84,
- 114, 3122 (1962).
- Y. E. Kirsh, V. A. Kabanov, and V. A. Kargin, *Polym.* Sci. *(USSR),* 10, 407 (1968). T. Okubo and N. Ise, *J.* Org. *Chem.,* 38, 3120 (1973).
- 
- V. A. Kabanov, Y. E. Kirsh, **I.** M. Papisov, and V. P. Torchilin, *Vysokomol. Soed. Ser,* B14,405 (1972) *[Chem. Abstr.,*  78, 102304*j* (1973)].
- T. Kunitake, S. Shinkai, and S. Hirotsu, *J. Polym.* Sci., *Po-lym. Lett. Ed.,* 13, 377 (1975). S. G. Starodubtzev, Y. E. Kirsh, and V. A. Kabanov, *Eur.*
- *Polym. J.,* **10,** 739 (1974).
- Y. E. Kirsh and V. A. Kabanov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk USSR,*  195,109 (1970) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 74, 54331e (1971)].
- $(411)$ Y. E. Kirsh, A. A. Rahnanskaya, G. M. Lukovkin, and V. **A.**
- Kabanov, *Eur. Polym. J.,* 10, 393 (1974). Y. E. Kirsh, T. A. Lebedeva, and V. A. Kabanov, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.,* 13, 207 (1975).
- T. Kunitake, S. Shinkai, and S. Hirotsu, *J. Org. Chem.,* 42, 306 (1977).
- T. Kunitake, S. Shinkai, and S. Hirotsu, *Biopolymers,* 15, 1143 (1976). ~~~ **~I**
- T. Kunitake, Y. Okahata, and R. Ando, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* 47, 1509 (1974). J. Suh, I. S. Scarpa, and I. M. Klotz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 98,
- 7060 (1976).
- J. Sul-and1. M. Klotz, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.,* 16, 1943 (1978).
- Y. Okahata and T. Kunitake, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed.,* 16, 1865 (1978).
- R. F. Prini and D. Turyn, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,*  1013 (1972).
- T. Rudolfo, J. A. Hamilton, and E. H. Cordes, J. *Org. Chem.,*  39, 2281 (1974). D. Turyn, E. Baumgartner, and R. F. Prini, *Biophys. Chem.,*
- 269 (1974). I. M. Klotz and V. H. Stryker, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 90,2717
- (1 968).
- G. P. Royer and I. M. Klotz, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 91, 5885 (1969).  $(424)$
- Y. Birk and **I.** M. Klotz, *Bioorg. Chem.,* **1,** 275 (1971).
- V. S. Pshezhetskii, G. A. Murtazaeva, and V. A. Kabanov, *Eur. Polvm. J..* 10. 571 (1974). R. H. Wiatherhead, K. A. Stacey, and A. Williams, *J. Chem.*
- *SOC., Perkin Trans.* 2, *800* (1978).  $(427)$
- V. S. Pshezhetskii. A. P. Lukvanova. and V. A. Kabanov. *J.*   $(428)$
- *Mol. Catal..* **2.** 49 (1977). Y. Kurusu, K.'Nakashima, and M. Okawara, *Kogyo Kagaku Zasshi,* 71, 934 (1968).
- S. Shinkai, K. Tamaki, and T. Kunitake, *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* **48,** 1918 (1975).
- S. Shinkai, T. Tamaki, and T. Kunitake, *J. Polym. Sci., Po-lym. Lett. Ed.*, 14, 1 (1976).<br>*lym. Lett. Ed.*, 14, 1 (1976).<br>S. Shinkai, T. Tamaki, and T. Kunitake, *Makromol. Chem.*,
- 178, 133 (1977).<br>**.**
- **S.** Shinkai and T. Kunitake, *Biopolymers,* 15, 1129 (1976). S. Shinkai and T. Kunitake, *Makromol. Chem.,* 178, 145 (1977).
- S. C. Shah and J. Smid, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 100,1426 (1978).  $(434)$
- $(435)$ W. J. Spetnagel and I. M. Klotz, *Biopolymers,* 17, 1657 (1978).
- S. Shinkai, S. Yamada, and T. Kunitake, *J. Polym. Sci., Po-lym. Lett. Ed.,* 16, 137 (1978).
- $(437)$ S. Shinkai, S. Yamada, and T. Kunitake, *Macromolecules,* 11, 65 (1978).
- $(438)$ **S.** Shinkai and T. Kunitake, *Polym. J.,* 9, 423 (1977).
- C. G. Overberger and P. S. Yuen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 1667  $(439)$ (1970).
- $(440)$ T. Ueda, S. Harada, and N. Ise, *Polym. J.,* 3, 476 (1972).
- K. Horiki, *Synth. Commun.,* 8, 515 (1978).
- G. Cardillo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  3985 (1976).
- G. Cainelli, G. Cardillo, M. Orena, and S. Sandri, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 98, 6737 (1976).
- H. B. Copelin, N. Falls, and G. B. Crane, U.S. Patent 2779781  $(1957$
- S. L. Regen and A. Nigam, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* 100, 7773  $(1978)$
- S. L. Regen, J. C. K. Heh, and J. McLick, *J. Org. Chem.,* 44, 1961 (1979).
- H. Molinari, F. Montanari, S. Quici, and P. Tundo, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 101, 3920 (1979).
- H. K. Zadeh, H. J. M. Dou, and J. Metzger, *J. Org. Chem.,*  43, 156 (1978).
- S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 98,6270 (1976). H. Noguchi, M. Sugawara, and Y. Uchida, *Polymer,* 21,861
- (1980).
- H. Serita, N. Ohtani, T. Matsunage, and C. Kimura, *Kobunshi Ronbunsu,* 36, 527 (1979). S. Colonna, R. Fornasier, and U. Pfeiffer, *J. Chem. SOC.,*
- *Perkin Trans. 1,* 8 (1978).
- E. Santaniello, F. Ponti, and A. Manzocchi, *Synthesis,* 891 (1978).
- M. S. Chiles, D. D. Jackson, and P. C. Reeves, *J. Org. Chem.,*  45, 2915 (1980).
- M. S. Chiles and P. C. Reeves, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 3367 (1979).
- *S.* L. Regen, B. Czech, and A. Sigh, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 102, 6638 (1980).
- F. Rolla, W. Roth, and L. Horner, *Naturwiss.,* 64,377 (1977).  $(457)$
- E. J. Corey and J. W. Suggs, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 2647 (1975).
- E. Chiellini, R. Solaro, and S. D'Antone, *Makromol. Chem.,*  178, 3165 (1977).
- S. L. Regen and J. J. Besse, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 101, 4059 (1979).
- P. Tundo and P. Venturello, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 101,6606  $(1979).$
- P. Tundo, *Synthesis,* 315 (1978).
- P. Tundo, *J. Chem. SOC., Chem. Commun.,* 641 (1977).
- M. Tomoi. 0. Abe. M. Ikeda. K. Kihara. and H. Kakiuchi. *Tetrahedron Lett.;* 3031 (1978).
- Merck Company, *Kontakte* **(l),** 30 (1977).
- M. Tomoi, K. Kihara, and H. Kakiuchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  3485 (1979).
- $(467)$ *S.* L. kegen, J. J. Besse, and J. McLick, *J. Am. Chem.* SOC., 101, 116 (1979).
- S. L. Regen, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 99, 3838 (1977).  $(468)$
- $(469)$ W. M. MacKenzie and D. C. Sherrington, *Polymer,* 21, 791 **(7980).** \----.
- K. Hiratani, P. Renter, and G. Manecke, *Isr. J. Chem.,* 18, 208 (1979).
- (471) K. Hiratani, P. Reuter, and G. Manecke, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 5, 241 (1979).
- 241 (1979). S. L. Regen, A. Nigam, and J. J. Besse, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  2757 (1978).
- M. Tomoi, M. Ikeda, and H. Kakiuchi, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  3757 (1978).
- H. Kobler, K. H. Schuster, and G. Simchen, *Liebigs Ann Chem.,* 1946 (1978).
- H. Lehmkuhl, F. Rabet, and K. Hauschild, *Synthesis,* 184 (1977). *S.* Yanagida, Y. Noji, and M. Okahara, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*
- 2893 (1977).
- D. G. Lee and V. S. Chang, *J. Org. Chem.,* **43,** 1532 (1977).
- $(478)$ T. Kitazume and N. Ishikawa, *Chem. Lett.,* 283 (1978).
- A. Hirao, S. Nakahoma, M. Takahashi, and N. Yamazaki, *Makromol. Chem.,* 179, 915 (1978).
- R. Fornasier and F. Montanari, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1381
- (1976). M. Ohtomi, S. Yoneyama, K. Arai, and S. Akabori, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi,* 1878 (1976).
- J. Kelly, W. M. MacKenzie, and D. C. *Sherrington, Polymer,* 20, 1048 (1979).
- T. Braun and **A.** B. Farag, *Anal. Chim. Acta,* 72, 133 (1974). L. R. Melby, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 97, 4044 (1975).
- 
- L. D. Pennington and M. R. Williams, *Ind. Eng. Chem.,* 51, 759 (1959).
- $(486)$ A. Warshawsky, *Talanta,* 21, 624 (1974). F. Vernon, *Hydrometallurgy,* 4, 147 (1979).
- $(487)$
- R. R. Grinstead, *J. Metals,* 13 (1979).
- 
- R. M. Seker, *Chem. Rev., 63, 297 (1963).*<br>P. H. Boyle, *Q. Rev. Chem. Soc., 25, 323 (1971).*<br>G. Blaschke and F. Donow, *Chem. Ber., 108, 1188, 2792*  $(491)$
- 
- 
- (1975). G. Blaschke, *Chem. Ber.,* 107, 237 (1974). S. V. Rogozhin and V. A. Davankov, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Chem. Commun.,* 490 (1971).
- V. A. Davankov, S. V. Rogozhin, A. V. Semechkin, and T. P. Sachkova, *J. Chromatogr.,* **82,** 359 (1973). R. V. Snyder, R. J. Angelici, and R. B. Meck, *J. Am. Chem.*
- 
- Soc., 94, 2660 (1972).<br>G. Dotsevi, Y. Sogah, and D. J. Cram, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 97, 1259 (1975); 98, 3038 (1976).
- G. Wulff,, A. Sarhan, and K. Zabrocki, *Tetrahedron Lett.,*  4329 (1973).
- (498)  $(499)$
- G. Wulff and A. Sarhan, Angew. Chem., 84, 364 (1972).<br>G. Wulff, A. Sarhan, J. Gimpel, and E. Lohmer, Chem. Ber., 107, 3364 (1974).<br>C. Wulff, R. Grobe-Einsler, W. Vesper, and A. Sarhan, Makromol. Chem., 178, 2817 (1977).
- $(500)$
- $(501)$ G. Wulff, W. Vesper, R. Grobe-Einsler, and A. Sarhan, *Makromol. Chem.,* 178, 2799 (1977).
- $(502)$ G. Wulff and A. Akelah, *Makromol. Chem.,* 179,2647 (1978). G. Wulff and A. Akelah, German Patent 2835226 (1980) *[Chem. Abstr.,* 93, 46175j (1980)].  $(503)$
- 
- $(504)$
- G. Wulff and A. Akelah, unpublished results. J. R. Parrish, *Chem. Znd. (London),* 137 (1956). (505)
- J. R. Parrish, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 137 (1956).<br>F. Vernon and K. M. Nyo, *Separation Sci. Tech.*, 13, 263  $(506)$ (1978).
- G. Manecke and R. Schlegel, *Makromol. Chem.,* 177, 3191 (1976).
- G. Manecke and R. Schlegel, *Makromol. Chem.,* 179, 19 (1978).
- S. Kopolow, T. E. H. Esch, and J. Smid, *Macromolecules,* 4,
- 359 (1971); 6, 133 (1973). J. Smid, S. Shah, L. Wong, and J. Hurley, *J. Am. Chem.,* 97, 5932 (1975).
- L. Wong and J. Smid, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 99, 5637 (1977).
- 
- A. Warshawsky, *Talanta,* 21, 962 (1974). G. A. Nussen, M. M. Jones, J. D. Jeringan, R. D. Harbison,
- and J. S. Macdonald, J. *Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 39, 1889 (1977).<br>M. M. Jones, H. D. Coble, T. H. Pratt, and R. D. Harbison,<br>*J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 37, 2409 (1979).<br>R. Herring, "Chelatbildende Ionenaustauscher", Akademic
- Verlag, Berlin, 1967. E. M. Moyers and J. S. Fritz, *Anal. Chem.,* 48, 1117 (1976).
- 
- 
- G. M. Orf and J. S. Fritz, *Anal. Chem.,* **50,** 1328 (1978). K. C. Jones and R. R. Grinstead, *Chem. Ind. (London),* 637 (1977).
- $(519)$ V. A. Davankov and Y. A. Zolotrarev, J. Chromatogr., 155, 295 (1978).
- M. A. Petit and J. Jozefonvicz, *J. Appl. Polym.* Sci., 21, 2585 (1977).<br>T. S. Stevens and J. A. Lott, *J. Chromatogr.*, **34**, 480 (1968).
- $(521)$
- (522) G. Blaschke and A. D. Schwanghart, *Chem. Ber.*, 109, 1967<br>(1976).
- H. Franck, G. J. Nicholson, and E. Bayer, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.,* 15, 174 (1977).
- H. Franck, G. J. Nicholson, and E. Bayer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, **17**, 363 (1978).
- H. Franck, *G.* J. Nicholson, and E. Baver, *J. Chromatogr.,*  146,197 (i978).
- J. A. Lott and W. Rieman, *J. Org. Chem.,* 31, 561 (1966). 0. Rammas and 0. Samuelson, *Acta Chem. Scand.,* B28,955
- (1974). (528) G. F. Mills and B. N. Dickinson, *Znd. Eng. Chem.,* 41, 2842 (1949).
- 
- (529) G. Manecke, *Angew. Chem.,* 67, 613 (1955).
- (530) A. Yamamoto, *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi,* 29,838 (1955). (531) J. de 0. Meditsch, *Reu. Quim. Ind.,* 26, 142 (1957).
- (532) M. Rubinstein, B. Amit, and A. Patchornik, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1445 (1975).
- (533) M. Rubinstein, Y. Shechter, and A. Patchornik, *Biochem. Biopkys. Res. Conmun.,* **70** 1257 (1976). (534) K. Krohn, K. Eberlein, and **6.** Gercken, *J. Chromatogr.,* 153,
- 
- 550 (1978).<br>(535) E. Seymour and J. M. J. Frechet, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 3669<br>(1976). (536) Reference 24, p 407.

(537) Reference 24, p 435. (538) B. W. Erickson and R. B. Merrifield, *Proteins 3rd Ed.,* 2,255 (1976). (539) G. Barany and R. B. Merrifield in "The Pe tides", E. Gross and J. Meienhofer, Eds., Academic Press, !Jew York, 1979. (540) J. D. Young and J. M. Stewart, "Solid Phase Synthesis", Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, 1980. (541) M. Kawana and S. Emoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 4855 (1972); *Bull. Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* 47, 160 (1974). (542) P. M. Worster, C. R. McArthur, and C. C. Leznoff, *Angew. Chem., Znt. Ed. Engl.,* 18, 221 (1979).

#### **Functionallzed Polymers in Organic Synthesis**

- **(543)** M. Bodenszky and K. W. Funk, J. *Org. Chem.,* **38, 1296**
- **(544)** I. T. Harrison and S. Harrison, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **89,5723 (1973).**
- **(545)** J. I. Crowley and H. Rapoport, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **92,6363 (1967).**
- **(546) J. I.** Crowley, T. B. Harve , and H. Rapoport, *J. Macromol. Sci. Chem.,* **7, 1117 (19733: (1970).**
- **(547) A. Patchornik and M. A. Kraus,** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.***, <b>92**, 7587 **(1970).**<br> **(548)**  J. Rebeck and F. Gavina, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **96**, 7112 (1974);
- (1970).<br>J. Rebeck and F. Gavina, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 7112 (1974);
- **97, 3453 (1975). (549)** P. Javalekahmv and S. Mazur. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* .. **98.6710**
- (1976).<br>W. Dumont, J. C. Poulin, T. P. Dang, and H. B. Kagan, J.<br>Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 8295 (1973).<br>T. P. Dang and H. B. Kagan, French Patent 2199756.<br>J. C. Poulin, W. Dumont, and T. D. Dang, *C.R. Hebd*.
- 
- *Seances Acad. Sci., Ser. C,* **277, 41 (1973).**  N. Takaishi, H. Imai, C. A. Bertelo, and J. K. Stille, *J. Am.*
- *Chem. SOC.,* **98, 5400 (1976).**  N. Takaishi, H. Imai, C. A. Bertelo, and J. K. Stille, *J. Am.*
- *Chem. SOC.,* **100,264 (1978).** .. **T.** Masuda and J. K. Stille. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.,* ., **100. 268**  (555) T. Masuda and J. K. Stille, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 268 (1978).
- 
- **(556) E.** Bayer and V. Schuring, *CHEMTECH,* **212 (1976).**
- **(557)** T. Yamashita, **H.** Yasueda, and N. Nakamura, *Chem. Lett.,* **585 (1974).**
- **(558) T.** Yamashita H. Yasueda, N. Nakatani, and N. Nakamura, Bull. *Chem.* **doc.** *J n.,* **51, 1183 (1978). (559)** T. Yamashita, H. Aeuda, N. Nakamura, K. Hermann, and
- H. Wynberg, Bull. *Chem. SOC. J n.,* **51, 1247 (1978).**
- **(560)** K. Hermann and H. Wynberg, *Ifel". Chrm. Acta,* **60, 2208 (1977).**
- **(561) S.** Tsuboyama, Bull. *Chem. SOC. Jpn.,* **35, 1004 (1962).**
- S. Ohashi and S. Inoue, *Makromol. Chem.,* **160,69 (1972).**  H, Hirai and T. Furuta, *J. Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.,* **9,**
- 459, 729 (1971).<br>Y. Kawakami, T. Sugiura, Y. Mizutani, and Y. Yamashita, J.<br>Polym. Sci., Polym. Chem. Ed., 18, 3009 (1980).<br>T. Yamashita, H. Mitsui, H. Watanabe, and N. Nakamura,
- *Makromol. Chem.,* **181,2563 (1980).**  T. Nozawa, Y. Akimoto, and M. Hatano, *Makromol. Chem.,*
- $(566)$ **158, 21 (1972).**
- M. Hatano, T. Nozawa, S. Ikeda, and T. Yamamoto, *Mak-*
- romol. Chem., **141**, 1, 11 (1971).<br>T. Nozawa and M. Hatano, *Makromol. Chem.*, 141, 21, 31<br>(1971)
- *(I7 Ill.*  **(569)** R. **L.** Beamer, R. H. Belding, and C. S. Fickling, *J. Pharm. Sci.,* **58, 1142 (1969). (570)** K. **J.** Shea and E. A. Thompson, *J. Org. Chem.,* **43, 4253**
- **(1978).**
- **(571)** H. Paracejua and M. Bursian, DDR Patent **92031 (1972).**  (571) **A. Paracejus and M. Burstan, DDR Patent 92051 (1972).**<br>(572) **A. Patchornik and M. A. Kraus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92,** 7587 **(1970).**
- **(573)** M. Cossu and E. Uccioni, *C.R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci., Ser.*
- *C,* **277,1145 (1973). (574) C. C.** Leznoff and P. I. Svirskaya, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*
- *Engl.,* **17, 947 (1978). (575)** J. Rebeck. **D.** Brown. and S. Zimmermann. *J. Am. Chem.* **.I**  *SOC.,* **97, 454 (1975).** ' **(576)** J. **Rebeck** and **F.** Gavina, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **97,1591 (1975).**
- 
- **100,8113 (1978). (577) J.** Rebeck, **F.** Gavina, and C. Navarro, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,*
- **(578)** J. Rebeck and **F.** Gavina, J. *Am. Chem. SOC.,* **97,3221 (1975). (579)** J. Rebeck, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* **3021 (1977).**
- 
- **(580) S.** Wolf, C. S. **Foote,** and J. Rebeck, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **100, 7770 (1978).**
- **(581) A.** Warshawsky, R. Kalir, and A. Patchornik, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* **100, 4544 (1978).**